ForumIAS

Mains Marathon

1st Week June, 2025

HISTORY
ECONOMICS
POLITY
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENT

INDEX

"India's virtual digital assets revolution faces a significant policy-reality gap, challenging regulators and market players. Analyze the key governance and regulatory challenges in effectively managing this nascent sector to ensure financial stability, protect consumers, and foster responsible innovation.

Introduction

India's virtual digital assets (VDA) sector, particularly cryptocurrencies and blockchain-based platforms, is experiencing explosive grassroots adoption. As per the 2024 Chainalysis report, India tops the global crypto adoption index, with over $6.6 billion in investments and an ecosystem poised to generate over 8 lakh jobs by 2030. However, this vibrant ecosystem operates in a regulatory vacuum, where policy has not kept pace with innovation, raising significant governance challenges.

Governance and Regulatory Challenges

1. **Lack of Comprehensive Legal Framework**: The Supreme Court's 2025 observation that "banning may be shutting your eyes to ground reality" underscores the vacuum in India's crypto governance. While taxation policies exist, there is no overarching legal framework that defines or regulates VDAs, creating uncertainty for investors, startups, and regulators alike.
2. **Monetary Sovereignty vs. Decentralization**: The Reserve Bank of India (RBI) has consistently flagged crypto as a threat to monetary stability and capital controls. The decentralized, borderless nature of VDAs conflicts with India's tightly regulated monetary architecture, posing risks of capital flight, currency substitution, and evasion of financial regulations.
3. **Ineffectiveness of Current Taxation Regime**: India's 1% TDS on transactions and a flat 30% capital gains tax were introduced to bring transparency and curb speculation. However, punitive taxation, in the absence of regulatory clarity, has driven users offshore. Between 2022 and 2024, over ₹3.6 trillion in VDA trade was routed through non-compliant offshore platforms, leading to ₹6,000 crore in uncollected TDS alone.
4. **Cybersecurity and Consumer Protection Risks**: The 2024 $230 million hack of an Indian exchange exposed systemic vulnerabilities. While Indian VASPs responded by enhancing cyber defences and setting up insurance funds, the absence of enforceable cybersecurity standards or investor redressal mechanisms continues to expose consumers to theft, scams, and market volatility.
5. **Regulatory Arbitrage and Evasion**: URL blocking of foreign exchanges has proven ineffective, with users easily bypassing restrictions using VPNs and mirror sites. This undermines domestic compliance efforts and weakens India's financial surveillance capacity.

Role of VASPs and International Best Practices

1. Virtual Asset Service Providers (VASPs) are essential intermediaries for regulatory compliance. Indian VASPs, in collaboration with the Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU), have taken strides in implementing Anti-Money Laundering (AML) and Counter-Terror Financing (CTF) norms, gaining recognition from the FATF.

2. Internationally, jurisdictions like the European Union (MiCA framework) and Japan have adopted comprehensive, risk-based crypto regulations to integrate innovation with safeguards — a model India can adapt.

Way Forward: Towards Responsible Regulation

1. **Enact a Comprehensive VDA Legislation**: India must formulate clear legal definitions for VDAs, delineate regulatory responsibilities (RBI, SEBI, FIU), and establish licensing and registration norms for VASPs.
2. **Develop a Risk-Based Regulatory Framework**: Inspired by global bodies like the Financial Stability Board and FATF, India should adopt a tiered, risk-sensitive model that balances innovation with systemic risk oversight.
3. **Streamline Taxation with Regulation**: Align tax rates with regulatory incentives to disincentivize offshore trading while promoting transparency and domestic exchange growth.
4. **Enhance Consumer and Cyber Protection**: Mandate disclosure norms, cybersecurity audits, grievance redressal mechanisms, and compensation frameworks for VDA platforms.
5. **Institutional Capacity Building**: Equip financial regulators with blockchain surveillance tools, forensic capabilities, and global cooperation mechanisms to counter illicit activities.

Conclusion

India's VDA revolution presents an opportunity to lead in the global digital economy. However, without agile and inclusive regulation, it risks becoming a source of instability and consumer harm. Bridging the policy-reality gap through a future-ready, balanced framework is essential to ensure financial integrity, public trust, and technological sovereignty.

Jayant Narlikar's unique ability to bring stars closer to India, coupled with his research brilliance, highlights the importance of science communication. Analyze how such contributions are vital for fostering scientific temper, inspiring innovation, and achieving technological self-reliance in India's scientific landscape.

Introduction

Jayant Vishnu Narlikar (1938–2024), a distinguished astrophysicist and science communicator, was a rare blend of scholarly brilliance and popular appeal. From his path-breaking work on the **Hoyle–Narlikar theory of gravitation** to founding the **Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics (IUCAA)**, his legacy transcends academic confines. What set him apart was not just his cosmological theories, but his unmatched passion for communicating science to the public, particularly to India's youth.

Contributions and Their Significance in India's Scientific Landscape

1. **Fostering Scientific Temper and Public Engagement**: Championed **scientific temper** as per **Article 51A(h)** of the Indian Constitution. Delivered hundreds of public lectures and authored accessible science literature in English and Marathi. Promoted critical thinking and rationality in a country where superstition and pseudoscience often dominate discourse. His books like *"The Cosmic Adventures of Jayant"* and *"Black Holes"* became popular among schoolchildren.
2. **Establishment of IUCAA and Democratization of Research**: Founded **IUCAA (1988)** in Pune to promote research in astronomy in Indian universities. Enabled faculty from non-IIT institutions to access state-of-the-art research facilities. Collaborated with institutions like **TIFR** and **NCRA**, expanding India's research base in astrophysics. IUCAA's role in **India's participation in the Square Kilometre Array (SKA)** reflects the long-term impact of his vision.
3. **Promotion of Indigenous Innovation and Self-Reliance**: Believed in **intellectual sovereignty**, resisting the domination of Western scientific narratives. Supported research aligned with India's

unique challenges—e.g., solar physics and gravitation under local conditions. His steady state model, though not widely accepted, exemplified courage to pursue independent scientific inquiry.

4. **Mentorship and Human Capital Development**: Mentored a generation of Indian astrophysicists, many of whom now occupy key academic positions globally. Advocated for science-led development, resonating with the **Atmanirbhar Bharat** mission in R&D and space. His collaboration with Fred Hoyle inspired students to pursue frontier research abroad and return to build capacity at home.
5. **Encouraging Young Minds through Storytelling and Science Fiction**: Wrote acclaimed science fiction novels like *"Tumbadche Khot"* and *"The Return of Vaman"*. Used storytelling as a tool to generate curiosity in space, time, and cosmology. His science fiction legacy aligns with efforts like **Vigyan Prasar**, aiming to popularize science among children.
6. **International Recognition and Science Diplomacy**: Shared the **Adams Prize** (1973) with Roger Penrose; also a Fellow of **Indian National Science Academy (INSA)**. Through IUCAA, hosted global Nobel laureates, enhancing India's scientific diplomacy and soft power. Actively involved in global bodies like the **International Astronomical Union** and **UNESCO science forums**.

Conclusion

Jayant Narlikar's enduring legacy lies not only in his scientific theories but in his ability to make science a public enterprise. In an era of misinformation and pseudoscience, his model of **rational engagement**, institution-building, and public inspiration is more relevant than ever. For India to truly become a **knowledge superpower**, it must nurture more Narlikars—those who **can think deeply, communicate clearly, and inspire widely.**

The evolution of battlefield geometries, from conventional weaponry to advanced drones and missiles, profoundly reshapes modern warfare. Analyze the implications of this technological shift for national security strategies, human resource management in armed forces, and the ethical dimensions of automated combat.

Introduction

The geometry of the battlefield has undergone a seismic transformation—from trench warfare and bayonets in World War I to high-precision drone and missile strikes in the 21st century. Ukraine's Operation Spider Web, involving drones launched deep within Russian territory, symbolizes this paradigm shift. These changes are not merely technological; they have deep implications for national security doctrines, military recruitment and training, and the moral calculus of modern combat.

Implications for National Security Strategies

1. **Blurring of Boundaries**: Traditional notions of "frontline" are dissolving. Long-range, container-launched drones can strike deep inside sovereign territory, nullifying conventional air defence buffers. **Example**: Ukraine's 2024 drone attack on Russian bombers from within Russia undermines traditional airspace sovereignty.
2. **Need for Asymmetric Capabilities**: Countries now require multidimensional security strategies including cyber warfare, electronic warfare (EW), anti-drone systems, and space-based surveillance. India's DRDO-developed anti-drone technology and the **Defence Space Agency (DSA)** reflect this evolving focus.

3. **Threat from Non-State Actors**: The affordability and portability of drones lower the threshold for high-impact attacks, increasing the threat from terrorists and insurgent groups. **Example**: The 2021 Jammu drone attack on the Indian Air Force base signaled this threat domestically.
4. **Civil-Military Fusion**: Commercial tech—off-the-shelf quadcopters, GPS, and AI—is now central to warfare, necessitating public-private partnerships in defence procurement and innovation.

Human Resource Management in Armed Forces

1. **Shift from Physical to Cognitive Warfare**: Emphasis on skills in robotics, AI, remote piloting, and data analysis. Indian Armed Forces are investing in programs like the **Army Design Bureau** and **Centre of Excellence for AI** in collaboration with academia.
2. **Redefinition of Soldiering**: Soldiers may operate from command centres rather than the battlefield. Concepts like "drone swarms" reduce the need for large infantry units. Challenges include retraining traditional units and transitioning to tech-centric forces.
3. **Mental Health & Morale**: Detachment from physical combat raises psychological and moral concerns around remote killings and long-term PTSD among drone operators.

Implications of Automated and Remote Combat

1. **Accountability in Autonomous Strikes**: Use of AI-powered weapons raises questions about decision-making authority—can algorithms make life-and-death choices legally and ethically? Example: The UN has debated the legality of **lethal autonomous weapons systems (LAWS)** under international humanitarian law (IHL).
2. **Civilian Casualties & Proportionality**: The precision of drones can reduce collateral damage, but also risks "signature strikes" based on data rather than confirmed identities.
3. **Militarization of Artificial Intelligence**: Ethical dilemmas in creating AI that can learn, target, and adapt on the battlefield; risks of algorithmic bias or misidentification.

Conclusion

The shifting geometry of warfare demands equally agile national defence strategies. As technological warfare becomes the norm, nations like India must recalibrate not just their weapons systems but also their doctrines, personnel, and ethical frameworks. The challenge lies not only in adapting to these changes but in shaping them responsibly—ensuring security without compromising human dignity and international law.

Strengthening the U.S.-India subsea cable agenda is crucial for enhancing digital resilience and advancing strategic-commercial goals. Analyze the implications of such infrastructure development for India's cybersecurity, economic competitiveness, and its pursuit of robust digital connectivity in the Indo-Pacific.

Introduction

In the age of digital interdependence, subsea cables form the physical infrastructure of the global internet—carrying over 95% of intercontinental data. As India's digital economy surges and geopolitical volatility escalates, enhancing the U.S.-India collaboration on secure subsea cable infrastructure becomes a strategic imperative. This cooperation, as reflected in the TRUST (Technology for Resilient, Open and Unified Security and Trust) framework, holds transformative potential for cybersecurity, economic growth, and regional digital connectivity.

Implications for India's Cybersecurity

1. **Critical Infrastructure Protection**: Subsea cables are vulnerable to sabotage, espionage, and cyber-physical attacks, as evident in the 2024 Red Sea cable disruption allegedly caused by Houthi rebels. Diversifying cable landing points beyond Mumbai and Chennai can mitigate risks of regional disruption.
2. **Strategic Autonomy in Digital Governance**: Reducing dependency on foreign-flagged repair vessels and building a domestic repair ecosystem improves India's response time and control over vital infrastructure.
3. **Alignment with Trusted Global Partners**: U.S.-India cooperation, under frameworks like iCET and TRUST, ensures participation in trusted global networks, countering Chinese influence through the Digital Silk Road initiative.

Boosting India's Economic Competitiveness

1. **Enabling the Data-Driven Economy**: India's data consumption is projected to grow at 38% CAGR (2021–2028). Reliable subsea connectivity supports cloud computing, fintech, AI, and digital services—key pillars of India's digital economy.
2. **Attracting Data Centre Investments**: Robust international bandwidth and cable redundancy are essential to India's ambition of becoming a global data centre hub. According to NASSCOM, India's data centre market is expected to touch $8 billion by 2026.
3. **Reducing Latency and Improving Redundancy**: Better infrastructure ensures seamless global data routing, enhancing quality of service for enterprises and consumers alike.

Advancing India's Role in Indo-Pacific Connectivity

1. **Becoming a Digital Transit Hub**: Located near strategic maritime chokepoints (Strait of Hormuz, Malacca, Bab-el-Mandeb), India is poised to serve as a regional data highway between Europe, Africa, and Southeast Asia.
2. **Supporting the Global South**: Enhanced cable infrastructure enables India to serve as a digital gateway to under-connected regions in Africa and South Asia, aligning with its SAGAR (Security and Growth for All in the Region) vision.
3. **Quad and G7 Partnerships**: Collaborations under Quad and G7's Partnership for Global Infrastructure and Investment (PGII) provide opportunities for joint investment and capacity-building in resilient digital infrastructure.

Conclusion

The U.S.-India subsea cable agenda is more than a technological collaboration—it is a strategic commitment to building a secure, inclusive, and competitive digital future. By expanding its undersea cable infrastructure, India enhances its cybersecurity posture, unlocks economic potential, and assumes leadership in Indo-Pacific digital connectivity. As digital geopolitics intensifies, swift reforms, investment in cable repair infrastructure, and facilitation of private sector partnerships will be key to realising this shared vision.

India's energy sector, characterized by 'confidence, self-reliance, and strategic foresight,' is crucial for national development. Analyze how this vision impacts India's foreign policy choices, its role in global energy governance, and the challenges in balancing energy security with climate change commitments.

Introduction

India's energy transformation underlines a paradigm shift — from dependency to self-reliance, from reactive policy to strategic foresight. As the world's third-largest energy consumer and fastest-growing major economy, India's energy vision not only underpins its development goals but also increasingly shapes its foreign policy, geopolitical alignments, and climate diplomacy.

Impact on Foreign Policy Choices

1. **Energy Diplomacy & Strategic Partnerships**: India's energy needs have led to stronger ties with energy-rich nations like Russia, the U.S., Saudi Arabia, UAE, and Central Asian countries. Strategic oil imports from Russia during the Ukraine crisis reflect pragmatic foreign policy anchored in national interest. India's participation in the International Solar Alliance (ISA) and collaborations in green hydrogen and biofuels (e.g., with Brazil and UAE) also demonstrate energy-oriented diplomacy.
2. **Diversification of Energy Sources**: To mitigate geopolitical risks, India has diversified its crude oil sources from over 40 countries and invested in upstream assets in nations like Mozambique, Russia, and Venezuela. The push for LNG imports and gas deals with the U.S., Qatar, and Australia aligns with energy security-driven foreign engagements.
3. **Regional Integration**: Cross-border pipelines such as the India-Nepal Petroleum Pipeline and initiatives like BIMSTEC grid interconnectivity reinforce India's leadership in regional energy connectivity.

Role in Global Energy Governance

1. **Leadership in Renewable Energy Initiatives**: India co-founded the International Solar Alliance (ISA), positioning itself as a global renewable energy leader. At COP26, India launched the Green Grids Initiative — "One Sun One World One Grid" — to promote transnational solar connectivity.
2. **Voice of the Global South**: India advocates for equitable energy transitions, urging developed nations to support clean energy finance and technology transfers to developing countries. In forums like G20 and BRICS, India champions the cause of energy equity, affordability, and technological sovereignty.
3. **Strategic Reserves & Energy Markets**: With enhanced strategic petroleum reserves and robust market reforms (e.g., Open Acreage Licensing Policy), India is viewed as a stabilizing force in the global energy supply chain.

Challenges in Balancing Energy Security and Climate Commitments

1. **Dependence on Fossil Fuels**: Despite advances in renewables, fossil fuels still account for over 70% of India's primary energy consumption. The need for affordable, uninterrupted energy creates a tension with net-zero aspirations.
2. **Transition Finance and Technology Gaps**: India requires over $10 trillion by 2070 to meet its climate goals, as per CEEW. Access to low-cost finance and advanced technologies remains a critical hurdle.

3. **Policy Synchronization and Regulatory Complexity**: Balancing multiple objectives — economic growth, affordability, decarbonization — demands integrated policy and regulatory frameworks across central and state levels.

Conclusion

India's energy strategy — driven by confidence, self-reliance, and strategic foresight — is not just an economic enabler but also a foreign policy tool and a lever for global leadership in energy governance. However, harmonizing developmental needs with climate commitments remains a complex challenge. The future lies in building an inclusive, resilient energy system that supports both national ambitions and global sustainability.

The contentious approval of GM mustard (DMH-11) highlights India's challenge in balancing health concerns with potential economic and nutritional benefits. Analyze the governance and policy dilemmas in regulating genetically modified crops, ensuring scientific rigor, public safety, and agricultural sustainability.

Introduction

The controversy over India's indigenously developed genetically modified (GM) mustard — Dhara Mustard Hybrid-11 (DMH-11) — reflects the complex intersection of science, health, economy, and governance. While DMH-11 promises higher yields and lower erucic acid content in mustard oil, concerns persist over its biosafety, ecological impact, and regulatory transparency. India, being a signatory to the Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety, must balance scientific advancement with public confidence, environmental sustainability, and constitutional accountability.

Scientific and Economic Significance of DMH-11

1. **Nutritional and Health Benefits**: Traditional mustard oil in India contains 40-54% erucic acid, well above the <5% global safety threshold. High levels are linked to cardiac and liver issues in animal studies. DMH-11 reduces erucic acid to 30-35%, aligning it closer to international standards and potentially improving public health.
2. **Economic Advantage**: India is the **world's largest importer of edible oils**, with an import bill exceeding $20 billion (NITI Aayog, 2024). A high-yield, low-erucic acid mustard could reduce this burden. Higher domestic yields (by 25-30%) also align with the government's goal of doubling farmers' income and achieving **oilseed self-reliance (Atmanirbharata)**.
3. **Agronomic Merits**: DMH-11 uses the barnase-barstar gene system for hybrid vigour, enabling higher productivity. Trials by the Indian Council of Agricultural Research (ICAR) suggest yield gains without adverse agro-ecological impact.

Governance and Regulatory Dilemmas

1. **Regulatory Fragmentation**: India's biotech governance is overseen by multiple bodies — GEAC (Genetic Engineering Appraisal Committee), FSSAI, and the Environment Ministry — leading to **overlaps, delays, and lack of coordination**. The Supreme Court (2024) withheld environmental release, citing insufficient health impact assessments, exposing regulatory gaps.
2. **Lack of Transparency and Public Participation**: Critics allege non-disclosure of full biosafety data, limited stakeholder consultations, and inadequate **risk communication**, fueling distrust. Civil society and farmer groups demand **independent, peer-reviewed evidence**, not solely developer-led trials.

3. **Policy Inconsistency**: While Bt cotton is widely cultivated (95% of India's cotton area), GM food crops like brinjal and mustard face moratoriums, reflecting **incoherence in biotech policy**. The **absence of a comprehensive GM crop policy or biosafety law** further complicates approvals and oversight.

Balancing Risks and Sustainability

1. **Scientific Rigor**: Robust, multi-location, long-term field trials with transparent data sharing must be institutionalized. An autonomous biosafety authority, as recommended by the **Parliamentary Standing Committee (2017)**, could ensure credibility and insulation from political influence.
2. **Environmental Concerns**: Cross-pollination risks to wild relatives of mustard, impact on pollinators (especially bees), and herbicide tolerance traits demand **ecological risk management**.
3. **Alternative Approaches**: Conventional breeding, CRISPR-based gene editing, and marker-assisted selection (non-transgenic) can offer safer and publicly acceptable alternatives.

Conclusion

The DMH-11 episode epitomizes the challenges of integrating science with policy in a democratic, diverse society. India needs a **coherent, transparent, and evidence-based regulatory framework** that encourages innovation while safeguarding public health, ecology, and farmers' rights. Trust-building through scientific integrity and participatory governance is crucial for the sustainable adoption of GM technology.

Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS), owing to their affordability and scalability, are pivotal for India's energy transition. Analyze how BESS can accelerate grid integration of renewables, enhance energy security, and contribute to India's sustainable economic development goals and climate commitments.

Introduction

India's energy landscape is undergoing a transformative shift, driven by the twin imperatives of climate action and energy security. With a target of 500 GW of non-fossil fuel-based energy capacity by 2030 and Net Zero by 2070, the integration of renewable energy is central to India's development model. However, the intermittency of solar and wind power threatens grid reliability. Battery Energy Storage Systems (BESS), with their affordability, scalability, and flexibility, offer a crucial solution to smooth this transition.

Role of BESS in Grid Integration of Renewables

1. **Mitigating Intermittency and Enhancing Grid Stability**: Renewable energy sources are variable by nature. BESS stores excess power generated during peak periods (like daytime for solar) and releases it during low-generation periods, thereby ensuring uninterrupted supply and peak-load balancing.
2. **Improving Renewable Energy Utilisation Rates**: According to the International Energy Agency (IEA), India curtails over 3% of its solar and wind energy annually due to lack of storage and transmission constraints. BESS allows surplus energy to be stored and used later, thereby enhancing efficiency.
3. **Facilitating Decentralised and Resilient Grids**: BESS supports microgrids and decentralised systems, particularly in remote and underserved areas. Projects like Delhi's Kilokari BESS pilot demonstrate how such systems can provide stable power to low-income urban consumers.

BESS and India's Energy Security

1. **Reducing Dependence on Fossil Fuels and Imports**: India imports over 85% of its oil and 50% of its gas. By supporting renewable integration, BESS reduces dependence on volatile fossil fuel markets, thereby strengthening energy sovereignty.
2. **Improving Peak Load Management and Avoiding Blackouts**: During surges in demand or grid failures, BESS ensures a buffer supply, reducing the risk of widespread outages and enhancing disaster resilience.
3. **Leveraging Indigenous Innovation and Manufacturing**: The government's Production Linked Incentive (PLI) schemes and initiatives for battery indigenisation also contribute to Atmanirbhar Bharat by localising BESS manufacturing and reducing reliance on imports.

BESS and Sustainable Economic Development

1. **Achieving SDG 7 and Climate Commitments**: BESS is integral to SDG 7 (Affordable and Clean Energy). It also supports India's Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) under the Paris Agreement by enabling deep decarbonisation of the power sector.
2. **Promoting Green Investments and Job Creation**: Platforms like EnerGrid, backed by British and Norwegian climate funds, exemplify how BESS can attract green capital, support new infrastructure, and generate skilled employment.
3. **Supporting Industrial and Urban Growth**: With growing urbanisation and industrialisation, reliable power is critical. BESS ensures power quality, voltage regulation, and resilience for digital and industrial economies.

Challenges and Way Forward

Despite its promise, BESS faces challenges — high upfront costs, limited access to critical minerals (e.g., lithium, cobalt), regulatory uncertainty, and insufficient grid infrastructure.

Policy Recommendations:

- **Viability Gap Funding (VGF)** and concessional financing for BESS projects.
- **Domestic value chain development** through mineral sourcing and battery recycling.
- **Regulatory clarity and tariff reforms** to incentivise storage adoption.
- **Public-private-philanthropic partnerships** like GEAPP to scale deployment.

Conclusion

Battery Energy Storage Systems are indispensable for India's clean energy future. By addressing intermittency, enhancing grid resilience, and supporting climate goals, BESS can accelerate India's transition to a sustainable, self-reliant, and inclusive energy economy. Timely reforms, innovation, and collaboration will be key to unlocking its full potential.

The emerging field of Exposomics promises to revolutionize our understanding of environmental health and disease etiologies. Analyze how scientific advancements in this domain can strengthen public health outcomes, inform evidence-based environmental policies, and contribute to holistic disease prevention strategies in India.

Introduction

Environmental exposures—ranging from air pollution and microplastics to chemical contaminants—are increasingly being recognized as critical determinants of health. However, traditional methods of risk assessment often fall short in capturing the complexity, multiplicity, and life-course impact of these exposures. The emerging field of *Exposomics*, which aims to map the totality of human environmental exposures (the *exposome*), is poised to fill this crucial gap by integrating chemical, biological, and social exposures with physiological and genetic factors.

Why Exposomics Matters for Public Health in India

India accounts for nearly **25% of the global environmental disease burden**, with environmental and occupational risk factors contributing to over **three million deaths annually.** However, current disease prevention strategies in India are siloed, with limited scope for complex interactions among pollutants, genetics, and lifestyles. Exposomics offers a transformative approach by:

1. **Enabling Precision Public Health**:: By identifying exposure-wide associations (EWAS), similar to genome-wide association studies (GWAS), exposomics can help predict individual and population-level disease risk with higher accuracy. This is especially vital for non-communicable diseases (NCDs), which account for over **60% of all deaths in India.**
2. **Capturing Complex, Life-Course Exposures**: Unlike traditional environmental health models that focus on singular pollutants, exposomics evaluates *cumulative, chronic, and combined exposures*, including air and water pollution, chemical contaminants, dietary intake, psychosocial stress, and more—factors increasingly prevalent in India's urbanized, industrialized environment.
3. **Integrating Climate-Health Linkages**: With climate change exacerbating environmental risks such as heatwaves, floods, and vector-borne diseases, exposomics provides a structured framework to assess their compound and synergistic effects on vulnerable populations.

Policy and Scientific Potential

1. **Evidence-Based Environmental Regulation**: Current frameworks like the **Global Burden of Disease (GBD)** project include only 11 environmental risk categories, excluding hazards like microplastics, noise pollution, and complex chemical mixtures. Exposomics can expand this evidence base, allowing targeted interventions.
2. **Real-Time Monitoring and Early Warning Systems**: Integration of **wearable sensors, AI-based analytics**, and **biomonitoring** allows for real-time exposure mapping. Platforms like **Organs-on-a-chip** simulate organ responses to toxins and can refine regulatory thresholds.
3. **Boosting India's Digital Health Ecosystem**: Exposomics aligns with India's *Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission* and offers synergies with existing epidemiological databases, expanding the reach of precision health interventions.

Challenges and the Way Forward

- **Lack of Infrastructure**: Limited capacity in exposure analytics, biomonitoring, and computational biology hinders large-scale implementation.
- **Data Silos**: Fragmented environmental and health data systems need to be harmonized into interoperable, open-access repositories.
- **Resource Constraints**: Funding and trained workforce for exposomics research are currently inadequate.

Recommendations:

- Establish a **National Exposome Mission** under the Department of Science and Technology or ICMR.
- Promote **public-private-academic partnerships** for technology development.
- Integrate exposomic tools in programs like **National Health Mission** and **NCAP (National Clean Air Programme)**.

Conclusion

Exposomics represents a paradigm shift in understanding the intricate interplay between the environment and human health. By moving beyond reductionist approaches and embracing life-course, multi-exposure models, India can pioneer data-driven, equitable, and sustainable health and environmental governance. As India prepares for future public health challenges, exposomics could well become the cornerstone of a preventive, precision-oriented, and planetary health strategy.

Critically analyze the imperatives and implications of amending India's nuclear energy laws for enhancing safety, attracting investment, and ensuring public accountability and trust.

Introduction

India aims to expand its nuclear power capacity from 8 GW to 100 GW by 2047 as part of its strategy for energy transition and to meet net-zero commitments by 2070. To achieve this, discussions are underway to amend the ***Atomic Energy Act (1962)* and the *Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage Act (CLNDA), 2010***, to allow greater participation of private and foreign players in the sector. These changes, however, raise significant questions about safety, investment climate, and public trust.

Imperatives for Amending India's Nuclear Laws

1. Aligning with International Norms: India's **Civil Liability for Nuclear Damages Act (CLNDA), 2010** imposes strict liability on operators and provides a limited right of recourse against suppliers. This diverges from the **Convention on Supplementary Compensation (CSC)**, which follows the global norm of exclusive operator liability. This misalignment deters foreign nuclear suppliers from participating in India's market.

2. Attracting Foreign Investment and Technology: Global nuclear technology leaders such as **Westinghouse (US)**, **Framatome (France)**, and **Hitachi (Japan)** have expressed reluctance to enter India due to legal risks under the current liability regime. Amending the framework could: **Catalyze Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) and u**nlock access to advanced technologies, including **Small Modular Reactors (SMRs)**, known for safety, scalability, and faster deployment.

3. Enhancing Domestic Nuclear Manufacturing Capacity: India's nuclear sector is currently dominated by **public sector undertakings (PSUs)** like **NPCIL**, which face: financial constraints, operational inefficiencies, project delays and cost overruns. Allowing **private sector participation**, backed by legal and financial clarity, can expedite capacity augmentation and infuse better project management.

4. Accelerating Project Implementation: Private sector engagement could bring: **faster construction timelines, improved risk-sharing mechanisms and a**ccess to **global supply chains** and modular construction models. This would reduce India's reliance on bilateral state-driven nuclear deals and facilitate time-bound expansion of its civil nuclear program.

5. Meeting Clean Energy and Climate Goals: According to the **International Energy Agency (IEA)**, nuclear power is essential to providing **24x7 baseload energy** required to complement intermittent renewables. Without legislative reform, India risks falling short of its **500 GW non-fossil fuel capacity target by 2030** and **NDC commitments under the Paris Agreement**.

6. Strengthening Energy Security and Strategic Autonomy: Nuclear energy reduces dependency on **imported fossil fuels**, enhancing India's energy security. Amending the law to include **private and foreign participation**, while ensuring regulatory oversight and safety, would: diversify the energy mix, promote self-reliance in strategic energy infrastructure, support India's vision of becoming a **net-zero economy by 2070.**

Concerns and Implications

1. **Safety and Regulatory Independence:** Critics argue that amending laws without strengthening regulatory oversight may compromise nuclear safety. India's *Atomic Energy Regulatory Board (AERB)* currently lacks full autonomy as it reports to the Department of Atomic Energy, which also oversees NPCIL. For public confidence, a statutory and independent regulator akin to the *U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)* is essential.
2. **Public Accountability and Trust:** Nuclear accidents like *Fukushima (2011)* and *Chernobyl (1986)* have underscored the importance of liability regimes that uphold victim compensation and transparency. Diluting supplier liability without bolstering safety assurance mechanisms may erode public trust and trigger resistance from civil society.
3. **Dependence on Foreign Entities:** Overreliance on foreign suppliers, especially without assured technology transfer, may not contribute to self-reliance in nuclear manufacturing. Even with Russia's Rosatom, India received only partial tech transfer for the Kudankulam VVER reactors.
4. **Legal and Judicial Challenges:** Parliamentary intent behind CLNDA 2010, passed amid public pressure after Fukushima, emphasized supplier accountability. Any perceived circumvention through contractual indemnity or dilution may face legal scrutiny and delay implementation.

Way Forward

1. **Balanced Legal Reform**: Amend the law to align with global norms, but retain strong provisions for supplier accountability in cases of gross negligence.
2. **Strengthen the Regulator**: Empower AERB through legislation to ensure independence, transparency, and real-time oversight.
3. **Promote Public Engagement**: Build informed consensus through community outreach, safety drills, and public disclosure of environmental impact assessments.
4. **Incentivize Indigenous R&D**: Invest in domestic SMR development and thorium-based reactors where India holds strategic advantages.

Conclusion

Amending India's nuclear laws is necessary to unlock foreign investment and technological access for expanding clean energy. A calibrated approach balancing investor confidence with public trust is key to a resilient and sustainable nuclear future.

Despite NDMA guidelines, stampedes remain a public safety concern. Analyze the governance failures and implementation gaps hindering effective crowd management and disaster prevention in India.

Introduction

Stampedes in India, particularly during religious congregations, political rallies, and festivals, continue to claim numerous lives despite existing frameworks such as the ***National Disaster Management Authority (NDMA) Guidelines on Crowd Management (2014)***. These recurring tragedies highlight critical lapses in governance, planning, and implementation at multiple levels.

Status of Stampedes in India

According to the *National Crime Records Bureau (NCRB)*, India witnessed over **1,400 deaths** due to stampedes between 2001 and 2020. High-profile incidents such as: **Sabarimala stampede (Kerala, 2011)** , **Patna Gandhi Maidan Dussehra tragedy (2014)** , **Elphinstone footbridge stampede (Mumbai, 2017)** , **Vaishno Devi shrine stampede (2022)** and Bangalore Stampede (2025). These underscore the recurring nature of the crisis and the absence of systemic remedies.

NDMA Guidelines on Crowd Management (2014): Key Provisions

1. Risk assessment and categorization of events
2. Use of GIS, drones, and CCTV for surveillance
3. Dedicated crowd control plans and trained personnel
4. Mock drills and simulation exercises
5. Multi-agency coordination and emergency response

Yet, despite these comprehensive guidelines, their implementation remains weak and patchy.

Governance Failures and Implementation Gaps

1. **Lack of Pre-event Planning and Risk Assessment**: In many cases, event organizers fail to assess crowd inflow and spatial limitations. The 2013 ***Ratangarh temple stampede (MP),*** which killed over 115 people, occurred due to the collapse of a bridge not designed for large gatherings. There is little coordination between district administration, police, and event organizers, violating NDMA's mandate for joint planning.
2. **Inadequate Infrastructure and Access Control**: Poor entry/exit planning, bottleneck formation, and lack of crowd flow regulation contribute to deadly surges. The 2017 Elphinstone bridge incident was caused by a lack of alternate exits and shelter during rain, showing urban planning neglect.
3. **Shortage of Trained Manpower**: Police and local administrators often lack training in behavioural crowd science and emergency evacuation techniques. Crowd control largely remains reactive and baton-driven rather than scientific. Mock drills, mandated under the NDMA guidelines, are rarely conducted or documented.

4. **Neglect of Technology and Communication Tools**:Despite recommendations for real-time crowd monitoring using drones, AI-based analytics, and GIS mapping, most events rely on outdated systems. Poor communication with the crowd exacerbates panic. In Patna (2014), the lack of public address systems and false rumours triggered chaos.
5. **Weak Accountability and Post-Disaster Learning**: Post-incident inquiries are often ad hoc, with little institutional learning or fixing of responsibility. The *Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG)* in multiple audit reports has flagged poor implementation of disaster management plans at state and district levels.

Way Forward

1. **Enforce NDMA Guidelines as Legal Mandate**: Convert guidelines into enforceable regulations with penal provisions for negligence.
2. **Use Technology-Driven Solutions:** Develop real-time crowd density monitoring using AI and deploy geofencing during large events. Promote *Integrated Command and Control Centres (ICCCs)* under the Smart Cities Mission for crowd surveillance.
3. **Capacity Building and Inter-agency Coordination**: Regular training of police, volunteers, and local officials in crowd psychology and disaster management. Form *Event Risk Management Cells* at the district level with a standard operating procedure (SOP) for crowd control.
4. **Inclusive and Participatory Planning**: Involve religious leaders, civil society, and local committees in awareness and crowd control efforts.
5. **Strengthen Infrastructure and Exit Protocols**: Audit and retrofit critical crowd pathways, particularly in high-footfall areas like shrines and railway stations.

Conclusion

Stampedes are not mere accidents but consequences of systemic governance and planning failures. A coordinated, technology-backed, and preventive approach rooted in public trust and scientific crowd management is essential to avert such preventable tragedies in the future.

To achieve a $5 trillion economy and lead globally, India must embrace cutting-edge technology. Discuss the policy frameworks and governance reforms essential for fostering disruptive innovation and accelerating this technological leap.

Introduction

India stands at the cusp of becoming the world's fourth-largest economy, with the IMF projecting its GDP to cross **$4.19 trillion by 2025**. However, to transition into a **$5 trillion economy by 2027** and emerge as a global innovation leader, embracing **cutting-edge technologies**—from artificial intelligence to quantum computing—is not just desirable but essential.

Need for Technological Leap

1. Historically, India missed the first two industrial revolutions due to colonial subjugation and only partially benefitted from the third, i.e., the digital revolution.

2. The **Fourth Industrial Revolution (4IR)**—driven by AI, robotics, blockchain, and biotechnology—offers a unique opportunity to leapfrog development stages and define global standards. However, this requires radical transformation in India's **policy architecture and innovation ecosystem.**

Reforms Required

1. Strategic Policy Direction: India's current innovation push is led by initiatives like **Startup India**, **Make in India**, and the **Atal Innovation Mission**. Yet, India ranked **40th in the Global Innovation Index 2023**, behind China (12th). A **National Disruptive Innovation Policy**—on the lines of the US's **DARPA model** or EU's **Horizon Europe**—is crucial to fund moonshot ideas, especially in space tech, defence AI, and synthetic biology.

2. Strengthening R&D Investment: India's Gross Expenditure on R&D (GERD) is just **0.7% of GDP**, far below China's 2.4% and the US's 3.1%. The **National Research Foundation (NRF)**, with a budget of ₹50,000 crore, must channel public-private partnerships into high-risk, high-reward technologies. Corporate tax incentives and performance-linked grants should be offered to incentivize industry-led R&D.

3. Reforming Data and Digital Governance: India's digital public infrastructure—**Aadhaar**, **UPI**, and **DigiLocker**—offers a foundation for innovation. However, the potential of technologies like AI and quantum computing hinges on access to large, open, and secure datasets. The forthcoming **Digital India Act** and **Data Protection Bill** must balance privacy with innovation by enabling **data trusts**, **sandboxes**, and **open-data ecosystems** in sectors like agriculture, climate, and healthcare.

4. Skilling for Future Technologies: The **National Education Policy (NEP) 2020** emphasizes skill development, but implementation must be scaled. STEM education, coding, and design thinking should be embedded from school levels. **Centers of Excellence** in AI, cybersecurity, and clean tech, in collaboration with global tech leaders, should be expanded beyond metros into Tier-2 and Tier-3 cities.

5. Agile Regulation and Governance: To keep pace with technological change, **regulatory sandboxes** like those introduced by the RBI for fintech must be extended to emerging domains—AI, autonomous vehicles, genome editing, and green hydrogen. A **Technology Ethics Commission** could help balance innovation with equity, inclusion, and national security.

6. Inclusive and Decentralized Innovation: Innovation should not be elite-driven. Expanding **Atal Tinkering Labs**, **Startup India Seed Fund**, and the **IGNITE Awards** to grassroots innovators in rural India will democratize disruption and fuel inclusive growth. India's 65,000+ startups, many in non-metro areas, are proof of this potential.

Conclusion

India's economic ascent cannot rely on incremental growth. To truly become a **$5 trillion economy** and a **technological superpower**, it must **lead**, not follow. With the right governance reforms, bold policy vision, and inclusive innovation culture, India can define the contours of the global knowledge economy in the decades to come.

India's water management needs a 'Source to Sea' (S2S) approach. Discuss the governance challenges and policy imperatives for effectively implementing this holistic strategy to ensure water security and sustainable resource management.

Introduction

India, with 18% of the world's population and only 4% of its freshwater resources, faces severe water stress. Climate change, over-extraction of groundwater, pollution, and fragmented governance have exacerbated the water crisis. In this context, the **Source to Sea (S2S) approach** offers a paradigm shift by linking land-based freshwater management with coastal and marine ecosystems in a single, integrated continuum.

Why India Needs an S2S Approach

1. The **2025 UN World Water Development Report** emphasizes the importance of mountain glaciers and cryospheric systems as vital "water towers" that feed rivers. Simultaneously, the **UN Decade of Ocean Science (2021–30)** warns against marine degradation due to upstream pollution. India, with its vast riverine systems feeding into ecologically sensitive coastlines, must bridge this upstream-downstream disconnect.

2. Activities like **dam construction, sand mining, urban sewage discharge, and agricultural runoff** have altered freshwater flows and harmed coastal ecosystems. For instance, excessive pollution in the **Ganga and Yamuna** rivers has deteriorated marine biodiversity in the Bay of Bengal. Similarly, reduced sediment inflow from the **Godavari and Krishna** has led to coastal erosion in Andhra Pradesh.

Governance Challenges Hindering S2S Implementation

1. **Fragmented Institutional Architecture:** Water governance in India is divided among multiple ministries—Jal Shakti, Environment, Agriculture, and Urban Development—without integrated coordination.
2. **Jurisdictional Conflicts:** Inter-state rivers like the Cauvery and Krishna are administered by multiple states, often resulting in legal disputes rather than collaborative management.
3. **Data Silos and Lack of Transparency:** Data on river flows, groundwater, and pollution is scattered across agencies, making comprehensive monitoring difficult. This hinders evidence-based policymaking.
4. **Over-reliance on Groundwater:** India extracts **~60% of global groundwater**, with over 25% of blocks being critically exploited (CGWB, 2022). This unsustainable use worsens water quality and ecological flows.
5. **Poor Waste Management:** According to CPCB (2022), **311 polluted river stretches** exist across 30 states. Over **40% of municipal waste** and **70% of sewage** remain untreated and are discharged into rivers.

Policy Imperatives for Effective S2S Implementation

1. **Adopt Integrated Water Resources Management (IWRM):** The government must align SDG **6.5 (IWRM)** with SDG **14.1 (marine pollution from land-based sources)** by enforcing basin-level management plans with inter-state cooperation.
2. **Create a National S2S Authority:** A centralized, multi-stakeholder body—similar to the **National Ganga Council**—should be tasked with holistic planning and coordination from glacier to delta.

3. **Reform Water Governance Architecture:** Restructure existing bodies like the **Central Water Commission (CWC)** and **Central Ground Water Board (CGWB)** into a unified **National Water Commission**, as recommended by the Mihir Shah Committee (2015).
4. **Invest in Nature-Based Solutions:** Promote wetland restoration, riparian buffer zones, and afforestation in upper catchments to preserve hydrological integrity. The **Namami Gange Mission** has successfully revived stretches of the Ganga using such methods.
5. **Leverage Science and Technology:** Use remote sensing, AI, and IoT for real-time monitoring of river health, groundwater extraction, and pollution load. Open-data platforms should be created to democratize water data.
6. **Empower Local Governance:** Encourage **water user associations, village water committees, and urban local bodies** to manage local catchments with technical and financial support.

Conclusion

India's future water security and ecological resilience hinge on transcending fragmented, sectoral approaches and adopting the S2S framework. As upstream interventions inevitably impact downstream ecosystems, embracing this continuum is essential not only to meet **climate and development goals**, but also to preserve the integrity of the country's lifelines—from the Himalayas to the Indian Ocean.