ForumIAS

F

Mains Marathon

3rd week, February, 2026

HISTORY
ECONOMICS
POLITY
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENT

INDEX

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

Examine the India-US agricultural trade framework under the 2026 interim deal. Evaluate how the strategic use of Tariff-Rate Quotas and calibrated market opening for non-native crops reconciles the pursuit of deeper bilateral economic ties with the imperative of protecting domestic farmer livelihoods.

Introduction

With bilateral **trade crossing $120 billion in 2024** and India **recording a $3.6 billion agri-trade** surplus with the United States, the 2026 interim deal signals calibrated agricultural liberalisation anchored in strategic reciprocity.

Strategic Context: Managed Liberalisation, Not Market Capitulation

1. **Reciprocal Trade Adjustment:** India agreed to expand imports of energy, aircraft and high-technology goods, while securing tariff reductions on **Indian exports to around 18%,** aligning with **Southeast Asian competitors and lower than tariffs** imposed on China.

2. **From Protectionism to Pragmatic Protection:** Rather than blanket liberalisation, the deal reflects *strategic trade management—*balancing export ambition with livelihood sensitivity in a sector employing nearly 45% of India's workforce.

Calibrated Opening for Non-Native and Low-Impact Crops

1. **Non-Competing Commodity Selection:** India reduced duties primarily on **tree nuts (almonds, walnuts, pistachios) and berries—crops** minimally grown domestically. This reduces direct competition with staple producers of rice, wheat, and pulses.

2. **Consumer Welfare Gains:** Lower tariffs function as implicit consumer subsidies for urban demand and the **food-processing sector, without distorting core agrarian** markets.

3. **Bargaining Leverage:** Opening high-value US exports—particularly from states like **California—strengthens India's negotiating position for improved access for mangoes, grapes and spices in the US market.**

Tariff-Rate Quotas (TRQs) as Institutional Guardrails

1. **Mechanism of TRQs:** Under a Tariff-Rate Quota system, reduced tariffs apply only up to a pre-specified import volume; beyond that, higher safeguard tariffs resume. This creates a *volume-based shock absorber.*

2. **Protection Against Dumping:** TRQs prevent sudden surges in imports due to global price crashes or American surpluses, mitigating risks to nascent horticultural sectors in **Himachal Pradesh and Jammu & Kashmir.**

3. **Alignment with WTO Norms:** TRQs are consistent with **WTO-compliant safeguard** instruments, reflecting rule-based trade diplomacy rather than ad hoc protectionism.

Safeguarding Red Lines: Staples and Dairy

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

1.   **Food Sovereignty Consideration:** Staple grains linked to the **MSP-procurement architecture remain outside liberalisation**, preserving the integrity of the Food Corporation of India-led system.

2.   **Livelihood Sensitivity in Dairy and Poultry:** India resisted US pressure on dairy imports, citing livelihood concerns and feed-related religious sensitivities. The dairy sector supports millions of smallholders under cooperative models like Amul.

3.   **GM Crop Precaution:** While processed derivatives like soybean oil and DDGS are permitted, India continues restrictions on direct imports of GM seeds, reflecting precautionary regulatory standards.

Balancing Competition and Capability

1.   Subsidy Parity Debate: While US farmers receive substantial federal support, Indian farmers benefit from fertilizer subsidies, crop insurance and income **support under PM-KISAN.**

2.   Need for Productivity Enhancement: US GM corn and soybean yields are significantly higher than India's. Long-term competitiveness requires enhanced **agri-R&D investment and extension services rather than tariff insulation alone.**

3.   **Indirect Market Effects:** Imports of DDGS for poultry feed may influence maize demand domestically—illustrating the complexity of cross-commodity linkages in global value chains.

Strategic Assessment: Reconciling Integration with Protection

1.   **Deepening Economic Interdependence:** The interim deal strengthens bilateral economic ties, positioning India within diversified supply chains amid global geopolitical realignment.

2.   **Managed Trade Model:** The framework reflects pragmatic protectionism—transitioning from a zero-sum protection mindset to calibrated integration using TRQs as safety valves.

3.   **Political Economy Stability:** By avoiding exposure of livelihood-sensitive sectors, the deal mitigates rural backlash while signaling openness to global markets.

Conclusion

As economist **Jagdish Bhagwati argues in *In Defense of Globalization*,** smart trade policy balances openness with safeguards; India's TRQ-based model reflects calibrated integration while preserving the primacy of the **annadata in reform.**

Analyze the rationale for institutionalizing a dedicated 'Indian Scientific Service' (ISS) to integrate technical expertise into mainstream governance. Evaluate how such a cadre can effectively bridge the generalist-specialist divide to address India's escalating technological and environmental challenges.

Introduction

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

With R&D expenditure **hovering near 0.7% of GDP and climate,** AI, and biosecurity reshaping governance, India's generalist-centric bureaucracy faces limits in managing technologically complex, risk-intensive policy domains.

Rationale for Institutionalizing an Indian Scientific Service (ISS)

1.   **Escalating Technological Complexity:** Governance now encompasses AI regulation, gene editing, semiconductor ecosystems, carbon markets, nuclear safety, and climate modelling. Such domains **require** *domain epistemic depth*, not merely administrative coordination.

2.   **Mismatch of Service Rules:** Government scientists remain governed by the **Central Civil Services Conduct Rules, 1964**—designed for administrative neutrality rather than scientific independence. This constrains transparent documentation of dissenting evidence.

3.   **From Reactive Advisory to Embedded Expertise:** Scientific input is often sought during crises (pandemics, disasters) rather than embedded structurally in routine policymaking. Institutionalizing ISS would convert science from episodic consultation to continuous policy partnership.

4.   **Scientific Integrity and Evidence Recording:** Countries like the United States have Scientific Integrity Policies that protect researchers from political interference. Similar safeguards within ISS would ensure professional autonomy while respecting elected authority.

5.   **Bridging the 'Valley of Death':** India performs relatively well in early-stage research (Technology Readiness Levels 1–3) but struggles with commercialization (TRL 7–9). An ISS could provide techno-managerial continuity across ministries, linking lab innovations to regulatory and market frameworks.

Bridging the Generalist–Specialist Divide

1.   **Dual-Track Bureaucratic Model:** The ISS is not a substitute for the IAS but a complementary cadre. Administrators would coordinate policy implementation and political negotiation, while scientists manage risk assessment, modelling, and long-term foresight.

2.   **Institutional Memory in Technical Ministries:** Unlike ad-hoc lateral entry, a permanent cadre ensures sustained expertise in ministries like Environment, Health, Energy, and Electronics. This avoids dependence on temporary consultants.

3.   **Enhancing Environmental Governance:** With India among the most climate-vulnerable countries (IPCC reports), sectors such as Himalayan ecology, coastal erosion, and air quality require sustained scientific evaluation embedded within decision-making hierarchies.

4.   **Strengthening Disaster Risk Reduction:** India faces recurrent floods, cyclones, and heatwaves. A scientific cadre trained in resilience modelling and probabilistic forecasting can institutionalize anticipatory governance.

5.   **Supporting Emerging Technology Regulation:** AI ethics, algorithmic bias, and data governance demand technical literacy within regulatory bodies. Without embedded expertise, policies risk superficial compliance rather than substantive oversight.

Global Precedents and Comparative Insights

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

1.   **Specialized Technical Cadres:** Countries such as France (Corps des Mines) and Germany integrate technocrats directly into state machinery, aligning technical capacity with national development strategies.

2.   **Science–Policy Interface Models:** In the United Kingdom, chief scientific advisers are embedded within departments, formalizing evidence documentation and policy traceability. These examples illustrate that specialized cadres enhance—not weaken—democratic accountability by clarifying advisory versus decision-making roles.

Implementation Challenges

1.   **Risk of Bureaucratic Silos:** A separate cadre could generate institutional fragmentation unless inter-service coordination protocols are clearly defined.

2.   **Hierarchical Friction:** Integrating ISS within existing service structures requires recalibrating authority, pay scales, and career progression to prevent rivalry.

3.   **Recruitment and Retention:** To attract top-tier researchers, ISS must offer competitive compensation, research autonomy, and peer-evaluated career progression.

4.   **Balancing Autonomy with Accountability:** Professional independence must coexist with constitutional principles of ministerial responsibility.

Way Forward

1. Establish an All-India Scientific Cadre under Article 312 framework.
2. Introduce committee-based decision documentation ensuring scientific assessments are recorded.
3. Align ISS with the Anusandhan National Research Foundation to integrate research funding and policy translation.
4. Institutionalize interdisciplinary training blending governance, ethics, and systems modelling.

Conclusion

As A. P. J. Abdul Kalam emphasized, scientific temper must guide national progress; institutionalizing an ISS would embed evidence, foresight, and integrity at the heart of governance for Viksit Bharat 2047.

Drawing parallels with India's early nuclear diplomacy, evaluate the challenges of treating AI as a strategic asset rather than a global public good. Analyze how India can balance collective governance aspirations with the imperative of safeguarding national interests amidst contemporary geopolitical rivalries.

Introduction

With AI projected to add **$15.7 trillion to the global economy by 2030 (PwC)** and nations **weaponising algorithms,** India's AI diplomacy echoes its 1950s nuclear balancing between **universalism and strategic autonomy.**

Parallels with India's Early Nuclear Diplomacy

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
📈 Forum IAS

1. **The Bhabha Moment (1955 Geneva Conference):** In **1955, Homi J. Bhabha** presided over the **UN Conference on peaceful nuclear uses,** advocating technology access for developing nations. India positioned itself as a **bridge-builder—championing Atoms for Peace** while quietly building indigenous capacity.

2. **Cold War Technological Rivalry:** The nuclear contest between the **United States and the Soviet Union mirrors today's AI rivalry** between the US and China. In both eras, transformative technologies were dual-use—**civilian and military.**

3. **The Lesson of Strategic Miscalculation:** Post-1960s geopolitical shifts and the emergence of **export controls culminated in India's isolation after its 1974** nuclear test. Regimes such as the **Nuclear Suppliers Group** restricted access to nuclear materials. The experience underscores that moral advocacy without technological capability leads to vulnerability.

AI as Strategic Asset vs Global Public Good

1. **Dual-Use Nature of AI:** Like nuclear technology, AI underpins both economic growth and **military advantage—cyber warfare, autonomous systems, predictive surveillance**. Treating AI **solely as a global commons** risks strategic dependency.

2. **Compute Sovereignty and Data Nationalism:** Foundation models require advanced semiconductor supply chains and hyperscale compute. Export controls on advanced chips **reflect AI's securitisation**. Overdependence could reduce India to a **data colony.**

3. **The Risk of a Digital NPT:** Just as the nuclear order differentiated between haves and have-nots, restrictive AI **governance regimes could limit access** to **frontier compute and proprietary models** for emerging economies.

4. **Technological Colonisation:** Concentration of **AI power in a few Big Tech** firms may **replicate asymmetrical global hierarchies**. **Digital infrastructure** dominance translates into normative dominance.

Balancing Collective Governance and National Interest

1. **Strategic Autonomy 2.0:** India must invest in indigenous **AI capabilities—compute infrastructure, semiconductor design, sovereign datasets,** and **talent ecosystems**—under initiatives such as the **IndiaAI Mission.**

2. **Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI) Model:** India's open, interoperable systems (**e.g., Aadhaar, UPI)** demonstrate that national capability can coexist with global openness. AI layered onto **DPI can promote inclusive growth while retaining** sovereign control.

3. **Middle-Path Diplomacy (Data Non-Alignment):** Echoing **the Non-Aligned Movement,** India can advocate inclusive **AI governance—fair standards, interoperability, ethical norms**—while avoiding alignment within rigid techno-blocs.

4. **Engagement in Global Norm-Setting:** Participation in multilateral platforms shaping **AI safety, algorithmic transparency, and risk classification** allows India to influence standards rather than merely adopt them.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

5.   **South-South Cooperation:** By deploying AI in **agriculture, health diagnostics, and climate adaptation domestically**, India can export scalable governance models to the **Global South—turning domestic capability** into **diplomatic capital.**

Contemporary Geopolitical Realities

1.   **Intensifying US–China Rivalry:** AI competition now involves export controls, industrial subsidies, and standards wars. **Supply-chain resilience** in semiconductors and rare earths is central to strategic leverage.

2.   **Regulatory Fragmentation:** Divergent regulatory models—**risk-based (EU), innovation-led (US), state-centric (China)—create compliance** complexity. India must craft a calibrated regulatory architecture balancing innovation and safeguards.

3.   **Economic Stakes:** AI's contribution to **productivity, defence capability, and economic** competitiveness makes it inseparable from national power metrics.

Way Forward

1. Build sovereign compute and AI hardware capabilities.
2. Invest in frontier research ecosystems and public–private partnerships.
3. Advocate equitable global AI governance grounded in transparency and access.
4. Align domestic regulation with global best practices without compromising autonomy.

Conclusion

As A. P. J. Abdul Kalam reminded in India 2020, strength respects strength; India must anchor AI universalism in computational capability, weaving strategic autonomy with global responsibility in an algorithmic age.

Examine the necessity of a structural reset in Indian federalism to harmonize State autonomy with Union efficiency. Evaluate the proposition that the Union and States are partners in a shared constitutional enterprise rather than competitors in a zero-sum contest.

Introduction

Seventy-six years after adopting a **quasi-federal Constitution, rising fiscal tensions, GST disputes, and Governor–State confrontations** signal that India's centralized design requires recalibration for a $5-trillion-plus, demographically diverse economy.

Constitutional Design and Centralising Bias

1.   India's Constitution, influenced by the **Government of India Act, 1935**, created a federation with strong **unitary features—residuary powers** to the Union, emergency provisions, and **expansive Union and Concurrent Lists under the Seventh Schedule.** This was historically justified by **Partition, integration of princely States, and fragile unity.**

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
Forum IAS

2.   However, as affirmed in **S.R. Bommai v. Union of India**, federalism forms part of the Basic Structure. States are not administrative appendages but constitutionally sovereign within their domain.

3.   Over time, legislative expansion into Concurrent subjects, **centrally sponsored schemes (CSS),** conditional fiscal transfers, and executive overreach have tilted the balance.

Necessity of a Structural Reset

1.   Fiscal Federalism and Vertical Imbalance: Despite **the 14th Finance Commission** raising States' share in **divisible taxes to 42%,** tied **grants and CSS continue** to limit fiscal autonomy. The GST regime, governed by the **GST Council, though cooperative in theory,** has generated compensation disputes and rate-setting frictions. A structural reset requires moving from conditional central patronage to genuine fiscal empowerment.

2.   Concurrent List Overreach: Union legislation in subjects such as **education, agriculture, and forests increasingly** shapes State priorities. Excessive central templates reduce contextual policy flexibility in a country marked by demographic asymmetry—aging southern States and youthful northern States.

3.   Governor–State Frictions: Frequent delays in **assent to State Bills and perceived partisan** interventions have raised concerns about federal propriety. The **Punchhi Commission** (2010) recommended codified timelines and clearer conventions to prevent misuse.

4.   Capacity vs Autonomy Paradox: Centralists argue **States lack capacity.** Yet over-centralisation stunts institutional development. Capacity emerges from responsibility and **accountability, not perpetual supervision.**

Union and States: Shared Constitutional Enterprise

The zero-sum lens assumes Union strength depends on State weakness. This is empirically flawed.

1.   Innovation through Decentralised Experimentation: Many national schemes originated as State-level experiments**: Tamil Nadu's Midday Meal Scheme** influenced the national PM-POSHAN programme, **Maharashtra's Employment Guarantee Scheme** shaped MGNREGA, **Kerala's public health model** demonstrated decentralized human development success. Decentralization allows **policy laboratories**, fostering horizontal diffusion of best practices.

2.   Cooperative Federalism in Practice: The **GST Council,** despite tensions, represents institutionalized intergovernmental negotiation. It demonstrates that shared sovereignty can manage complex **indirect tax harmonisation in the world's largest democracy**. Similarly, initiatives like the **Aspirational Districts Programme illustrate** synergy—Union vision combined with State execution.

3.   Efficiency and Specialization: The Union is indispensable for: National security and foreign affairs, Macroeconomic stability, International treaties and Interstate trade and digital infrastructure. States are essential for: **Public health, Education delivery, Agricultural reform and Local infrastructure**. Optimal governance requires subsidiarity—allocating functions to the lowest competent authority.

4.   Comparative Perspective: Mature federations like the United States and Germany demonstrate that decentralization **does not weaken national unity**. Instead, it enhances resilience through distributed authority and competitive federalism.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

Way Forward: Toward Collaborative Federalism

1. Revitalize the Inter-State Council as a permanent conflict-resolution forum.
2. Rationalize Centrally Sponsored Schemes to reduce overlap.
3. Clarify gubernatorial roles through statutory conventions.
4. Deepen third-tier federalism under the 73rd and 74th Amendments.
5. Institutionalize transparent fiscal devolution formulas.

6. A structural reset is not about dismantling Union authority but right-sizing it—allowing it to focus on genuinely national priorities while empowering States to innovate.

Conclusion

As **B. R. Ambedkar observed, the Constitution is workable** if those in power are constitutional in spirit; India's federal future depends on partnership, trust, and shared responsibility.

Examine the rationale for prioritizing AI solutions over frontier models in India's sovereign AI strategy. Evaluate how this approach balances human capital constraints with the imperative of technological sovereignty and inclusive governance under the IndiaAI Mission.

Introduction

With India's AI market projected to reach **$17 billion by 2027 (NASSCOM),** the IndiaAI Mission allocates ₹10,000 crore to build sovereign capability, yet human capital and compute constraints necessitate prioritizing applied AI solutions over frontier models.

Rationale for Prioritizing AI Solutions over Frontier Models

Compute Economics and Capital Rationality

1. Frontier models—trillion-parameter Large Language Models (LLMs)—require massive compute, advanced GPUs, high-end semiconductors, and sustained capital investment.

2. Training GPT-scale models costs hundreds of millions of dollars and demands long-term, non-revenue R&D cycles.

3. Under the IndiaAI Mission, subsidised GPU access reduces costs (from market rates of ∼₹400/hour to ∼₹67/hour), democratizing experimentation. However, replicating OpenAI- or Google-scale models would strain fiscal and infrastructural capacity.

4. A rational strategy thus focuses on **use-case-driven AI**, optimizing compute through fine-tuning, model distillation, and edge deployment rather than brute-force scaling. This reflects the principle of **"compute efficiency over compute maximalism."**

Human Capital Constraints: Depth vs Breadth

1. India produces **over a million engineering graduates annually**, but the number of **advanced mathematics and AI research PhDs remains limited** compared to countries like **China or the U.S. Deep-**

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

tech frontier research demands expertise in: **Transformer architectures, Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF), Distributed training systems and Advanced optimization algorithms.**

2.   Given this constraint, prioritizing applied **AI—chatbots for IRCTC, fraud detection for NPCI, multilingual governance via Bhashini**—leverages India's broad IT services talent base.

3.   Thus, the strategy bridges the **"PhD gap"** by shifting from **foundational model invention** to contextual adaptation and domain integration.

Sovereign AI through Contextualization

1.   Technological sovereignty is not merely about owning foundational models; it is about ensuring strategic autonomy in critical sectors: **Defence AI systems, Financial infrastructure (UPI ecosystem) and Public service delivery (DPI integration).**

2.   By building sector-specific sovereign models—such as those for **the Indian Army or public institutions**—India reduces dependency on foreign proprietary APIs, mitigating risks of data colonialism or export controls.

3.   This reflects a **"sovereignty through specialization"** model rather than "sovereignty through scale."

Data as the Real Differentiator

1.   Frontier models rely on massive generic datasets like Common Crawl. However, competitive advantage increasingly lies in **domain-specific proprietary datasets**.

2.   India's strengths include: **Digital Public Infrastructure (Aadhaar, UPI, DigiLocker),** Multilingual datasets (AI4Bharat, Bhashini) and **Public sector enterprise data** (LIC, IRCTC, NPCI).

3.   Applied AI solutions built on contextual **Indian datasets can outperform generic global models** in localized governance applications.

Inclusive Governance and Edge Deployment

1.   Frontier AI models often demand cloud-based high compute. In contrast, **edge-optimized AI systems democratize access, enabling: Rural health diagnostics, Vernacular legal assistance** and Agricultural advisory services.

2.   This aligns with inclusive governance by ensuring AI penetration beyond metropolitan hubs. It also reduces the digital divide by enabling **low-latency, low-cost deployment**.

Balancing Sovereignty with Global Integration

1.   India's approach mirrors its Digital Public Infrastructure model—open protocols, domestic capability, and international interoperability. Instead of competing in an AI arms race, India aims to: Build interoperable **sovereign systems, Participate in global AI governance debates and Avoid technological dependence.**

2.   This strategy aligns national interest with developmental imperatives, avoiding fiscal overextension.

Critical Evaluation

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

1. However, long-term strategic vulnerability remains if India neglects frontier research entirely.

2. Foundational model capability ensures bargaining power in global standard-setting. Therefore, a dual-track approach is prudent: Selective investment in frontier R&D (through ANRF, IISc, IITs) and Broad-based scaling of applied AI solutions.

3. This balances innovation with practicality.

Conclusion

As President **A.P.J. Abdul Kalam wrote in India 2020,** technological self-reliance must combine vision with pragmatism; India's AI path must blend sovereign ambition with inclusive, solution-oriented execution.

Examine Front-of-Package Labelling (FoPL) as an instrument of public health governance. Evaluate the challenges in transitioning from 'star ratings' to mandatory 'warning labels' to safeguard consumer rights and address India's rising non-communicable disease burden."

Introduction

With non-communicable diseases causing **nearly 65% of deaths in India (WHO), and the ICMR-INDIAB 2023 study** estimating **101 million diabetics**, **Front-of-Package Labelling (FoPL)** emerges as a preventive public health governance tool.

FoPL as an Instrument of Public Health Governance

1. Constitutional and Rights-Based Framework: The Supreme Court's recent directions to the Food Safety and Standards Authority of India (FSSAI) strengthen the interpretation of the **Right to Health under Article 21**. In **Paschim Banga Khet Mazdoor Samity v. State of West Bengal (1996),** health was recognized as integral to **the right to life**. FoPL thus becomes a regulatory instrument enabling **informed consumer choice**, linking food governance to constitutional morality.

2. Behavioural Economics and "Nudge Theory": Traditional back-of-pack nutrition tables suffer from **information asymmetry** and cognitive overload. FoPL simplifies decision-making through visual cues. Drawing from Richard Thaler's **nudge theory**, warning labels act as behavioural correctives. Global evidence supports this:

· **Chile's black octagonal warning** labels reduced sugary drink purchases by nearly 24% **(University of North Carolina study).**

· Mexico and Israel have adopted similar **High in Fat, Sugar, Salt (HFSS)** warning systems.

· Such labels directly communicate health risks rather than offering ambiguous health halos.

3. India's Double Burden of Malnutrition: India faces a **dual nutrition crisis**: Persistent undernutrition **(NFHS-5 shows 35.5% stunting)**. Rising obesity and metabolic disorders. The 2023 ICMR data indicates: **35.5% hypertension prevalence, 39.5% abdominal obesity** and **24% high cholesterol**. Ultra-processed foods rich in **sugar, salt, and saturated fats** accelerate this epidemiological transition. FoPL integrates into a

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
Forum IAS

preventive continuum of care, reducing long-term healthcare expenditure on **dialysis, insulin, and cardiovascular** treatment.

Star Ratings vs Warning Labels: The Governance Debate

1.   Indian Nutrition Rating (INR) – The "Summary Score" Model: FSSAI proposed an **Indian Nutrition Rating (0.5 to 5 stars)**. However: Positive ingredients (nuts, fibre) may offset high sugar/sodium levels. Consumers may misinterpret **3-star products as "healthy."** Risk of **health halo effect**, where processed snacks appear nutritionally acceptable. This approach mirrors **Australia's Health Star Rating**, which faced criticism for inconsistencies.

2.   Mandatory Warning Labels – The "Risk Disclosure" Model: Warning labels adopt a **precautionary principle**: Direct black symbols stating **"High in Sugar" or "High in Sodium."** Simple, binary communication and Effective in low-literacy contexts. India's successful green/red vegetarian symbol demonstrates the effectiveness of visual regulatory cues.

Challenges in Transitioning to Warning Labels

1.   Industry Resistance and Regulatory Capture: Food conglomerates argue warning labels create a **"fear factor," affecting sales** and requiring costly reformulation. There is risk of **regulatory capture**, where economic lobbying dilutes public health objectives.

2.   Defining HFSS Thresholds: Setting scientific cut-offs for "high" sugar or sodium requires alignment with **WHO standards**. Industry lobbies often push for lenient thresholds, creating **normative ambiguity**.

3.   Federal and Implementation Complexity: Food regulation operates under a shared **constitutional space (Entry 18, Concurrent List).** Uniform implementation across States and MSMEs demands capacity building and transition timelines.

Way Forward

1. Align thresholds **with WHO and Codex Alimentarius guidelines**.
2. Phase-wise implementation to support MSMEs.
3. Integrate FoPL with the **Eat Right India** campaign.
4. Strengthen surveillance and enforcement mechanisms.

5.   Warning labels must be supplemented by mass awareness campaigns. Without public education, labels may fail to shift entrenched dietary habits.

6.   FoPL should evolve from voluntary disclosure to mandatory public health intervention.

Conclusion

As President **A.P.J. Abdul Kalam emphasised in Indomitable Spirit**, prevention is the foundation of national health; FoPL represents governance that protects citizens before disease overwhelms both families and the State.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

Analyze the strategic imperative for global guardrails on military AI. Evaluate India's proposal for a non-binding framework rooted in accountability, examining how it balances technological sovereignty with the necessity of ethical international governance in a volatile geopolitical era.

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence is rapidly transforming warfare, with over **70 countries reportedly investing in military AI (SIPRI, 2024)**. Yet global **consensus remains elusive**, as reflected in declining endorsements at the **REAIM Summit on military AI governance.**

Strategic Imperative for Global Guardrails on Military AI

1.   AI as a Dual-Use, Disruptive Technology: Military AI is inherently **dual-use**, powering logistics, surveillance, and predictive maintenance while also enabling **Lethal Autonomous Weapons Systems (LAWS)**. This duality complicates arms control verification — unlike nuclear weapons, AI development often overlaps with civilian R&D ecosystems. Technologies perceived as **game-changing** — like **nuclear fission in the 1950s** — have historically resisted regulation. AI now holds similar transformative potential in **ISR (Intelligence, Surveillance, Reconnaissance), cyber operations, drone swarms, and algorithmic command systems.**

2.   Speed-of-War and Escalation Risks: AI compresses decision-making timelines into **machine-speed warfare.** Automated **threat-detection systems** along contested borders could escalate skirmishes before political leadership intervenes. The **2010 Flash Crash in financial markets illustrates algorithmic cascade risks**. In warfare, such cascading miscalculations could prove catastrophic, especially in nuclear-armed regions.

3.   Accountability and Legal Vacuum: International Humanitarian Law (IHL) rests on principles of **distinction, proportionality, and accountability**. However, AI systems often function as **opaque black boxes,** raising the question: **who is legally responsible** for unintended civilian harm **— programmer, commander, or manufacturer?** The **UN Convention on Certain Conventional Weapons (CCW)** has struggled to **define LAWS,** leading to **definitional deadlock** and stalled negotiations.

4.   Proliferation and Non-State Actors: Unlike nuclear technology, AI code is replicable and diffusible. The risk of **algorithmic proliferation** to non-state actors, terrorist groups, or rogue militias heightens urgency for guardrails.

Evaluating India's Non-Binding Framework Proposal

India abstained from signing the **REAIM Pathways to Action declaration**, reflecting **strategic caution. Its stance rests on three pillars:**

1.   **Technological Sovereignty and Strategic Autonomy:** India operates in a volatile neighbourhood with two nuclear-armed adversaries. Binding restrictions could curtail its emerging capabilities under initiatives such as the **IndiaAI Mission** and defence AI integration programs. A legally binding regime risks becoming an **AI Non-Proliferation Treaty**, freezing existing hierarchies between AI haves and have-nots. India seeks to avoid premature constraints while building indigenous compute infrastructure and sovereign datasets.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

2. **Accountability-Rooted Normative Leadership:** India advocates a **principle-based, non-binding framework** emphasizing: Human-in-the-loop control for lethal systems, Separation of AI from nuclear command and control and Voluntary transparency and confidence-building measures. This mirrors India's historical **nuclear diplomacy — supporting peaceful uses** while preserving sovereign options.

3. **Gradual Norm Development:** Given limited battlefield deployment of LAWS, India views a binding treaty as premature. Instead, it proposes developing: A **risk hierarchy of AI military applications,** Voluntary incident-reporting mechanisms and Shared best practices for testing and validation. Such soft-law instruments could crystallize into customary norms over time.

Balancing Sovereignty with Ethical Governance

1. India's approach reflects **Strategic Autonomy 2.0** — participating in global governance without sacrificing national security.
2. It supports responsible AI discourse at global summits.
3. It refrains from rigid commitments that may constrain capability development.
4. It positions itself as a bridge between technologically advanced states and the Global South.
5. This mirrors its role in nuclear diplomacy during the Cold War — advocating cooperation while building national capacity.

Way Forward

1. Institutionalize mandatory human oversight in military AI doctrine.
2. Develop national AI testing and certification standards.
3. Promote a Global AI Risk Registry under UN auspices.
4. Engage in Track-II diplomacy to build consensus on LAWS definitions.
5. Guardrails must evolve alongside technology, not lag behind it.

Conclusion

As **President Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam reminded in India 2020**, strength must be coupled with wisdom. India's accountability-driven framework seeks power with restraint, ensuring technology serves humanity, not destabilizes it.

Examine India's 'Third Way' for AI governance as an alternative to the market-led and regulation-heavy global models. Evaluate how this development-centric approach balances technological sovereignty with the institutional needs of the Global South.

Introduction
According to the **IMF (2024), AI could affect 40% of global jobs**, while **UNCTAD flags concentration of AI compute within a few firms**. Amid this asymmetry, **India's 'Third Way' proposes inclusive, sovereignty-driven AI governance.**

Moving Beyond Binary Models: Market Fundamentalism vs Regulatory Maximalism

1.   Market-Led Model (U.S. Approach): Innovation First: The U.S. relies largely on **ex-post regulation and private-sector leadership (e.g., OpenAI, Google DeepMind).** While this accelerates **frontier**

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

innovation, it **risks regulatory lag, algorithmic opacity**, and **labour displacement without social safeguards.**

2.   Compliance-Heavy Regime (EU AI Act): Precautionary Governance: The **European Union's European Union AI Act** adopts a **risk-tier classification (minimal to unacceptable risk),** embedding **ex-ante conformity assessments**. Though **rights-protective, its high compliance costs** may burden developing economies with **limited regulatory capacity.**

3.   State-Centric Model (China): Centralised Algorithmic Control: China's approach integrates AI within **state planning and cybersecurity laws**, prioritising **data localisation and algorithm registration.** While **ensuring control,** it may **constrain innovation pluralism and global interoperability**. India's **Third Way emerges as a synthesis—innovation-enabling yet norm-anchored**, avoiding **both laissez-faire excess and regulatory overreach.**

Development-Centric Governance: AI as Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI)

1.   AI for Public Goods Delivery: India **conceptualises AI as an extension of Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI)**, akin to **Aadhaar and UPI.** Through the **IndiaAI Mission and sectoral guidelines**, AI deployment is targeted at **healthcare diagnostics, agricultural advisories, and education personalisation**—aligning with SDG commitments.

2.   Sector-Specific, Agile Regulation: Instead of **omnibus legislation**, India works through existing frameworks such as the **Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023** and **amendments to IT Rules mandating** AI-generated **content labelling**. This reflects **adaptive governance rather than static codification.**

3.   Sandboxes and Voluntary Codes: Regulatory **sandboxes encourage experimentation** while **embedding accountable-by-design principles.** This mirrors the RBI fintech sandbox model, balancing innovation and oversight.

Technological Sovereignty: Strategic Autonomy in the Algorithmic Age

1.   Data Sovereignty and Indigenous Models: India promotes indigenous **large language models such as BharatGen** to **preserve linguistic and cultural intelligence**. This reduces algorithmic dependency and mitigates **vendor lock-in risks flagged by the World Bank's Digital Development Report (2023).**

2.   Compute Infrastructure Democratization: Through **subsidised GPU access under the IndiaAI Mission,** India attempts to counter **compute colonialism,** where AI power is concentrated among a **handful of Global North corporations.**

3.   Public-Private Partnerships (PPP Model): Unlike **statist centralisation**, India leverages **PPPs across the AI value chain—research labs, startups, and academia**—enhancing **institutional scalability without fiscal overextension.**

Institutional Relevance for the Global South

1.   Context-Sensitive Governance: Many **Global South states** lack **regulatory bandwidth** for **400-page statutes like the EU AI Act**. India's **principle-based, modular framework—Trust, Fairness, Human Oversight**—offers **replicability without heavy compliance infrastructure**.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

2. Capacity-Building Diplomacy: By hosting **AI Impact Summits and advocating shared safety** evaluation frameworks, India positions itself as a convenor **among middle powers—bridging innovation asymmetries identified by UNDP.**

3. Inclusion and Multilingual AI: Initiatives like **Bhashini address linguistic marginalisation**, making **AI diffusion socially embedded rather than elite-centric**—a crucial requirement for **equitable technological transformation.**

Critical Gaps and Normative Challenges

1. **Labour Displacement and Just Transition:** The **ILO warns of automation-led employment** disruption. India's framework must integrate **skilling, social protection, and algorithmic impact assessments** to avoid **developmental dualism.**

2. **Accountability and Enforcement Deficit:** Voluntary codes may lack teeth without statutory backing. Ensuring **algorithmic auditability and grievance redress mechanisms remains vital.**

3. **Global Coordination Imperative:** AI harms transcend borders; hence India's sovereignty model must operate within multilateral norms to prevent regulatory fragmentation.

Conclusion
As President **Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam emphasised in India 2020, technological power must serve national development**. India's 'Third Way' embodies this ethos—strategic autonomy fused with inclusive, globally responsible innovation.

Examine the role of internationalising higher education in developing 'global-ready graduates' to curb academic migration. Evaluate how this strategy can elevate the quality of post-secondary research and ensure a more meaningful contribution to India's socio-economic development.

Introduction

According to the Ministry of External Affairs, over 13.8 lakh Indian students studied abroad in 2025, reflecting intensifying academic migration. UNESCO notes student mobility has doubled since 2000, underscoring the urgency of systemic reform.

Academic Migration and Structural Push Factors

1. **Quality Differential and Research Ecosystem Gap:** A major driver of outward mobility is perceived quality asymmetry—limited global rankings presence, inadequate research infrastructure, and low Gross Expenditure on R&D (GERD) at ~0.7% of GDP compared to OECD averages above 2.5%.

2. **Employability and Global Credential Signalling:** Degrees from institutions in the U.S., U.K., Canada, and Germany provide global labour market signalling advantages, reinforced by frameworks like the Washington Accord in engineering mobility.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

3. **Capital Flight and Household Indebtedness:** Estimates suggest billions of dollars annually flow out as tuition and living expenses, often financed through high-value education loans, creating private financial strain and macroeconomic leakage. Internationalisation of higher education thus becomes a strategic intervention to address both quality and perception gaps.

Internationalisation as a Tool to Develop 'Global-Ready Graduates'

1. **Internationalisation at Home (NEP 2020 Vision):** The National Education Policy 2020 emphasises embedding global perspectives within domestic curricula—credit transfers, interdisciplinary modules, and Collaborative Online International Learning (COIL)—ensuring international exposure without physical migration.

2. **Strategic Partnerships and Transnational Education (TNE):** Mechanisms such as joint degrees, twinning programmes, and offshore campuses enable dual certification. The entry of foreign universities in GIFT City under UGC regulations reduces the push factor for outbound migration.

3. **Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (ACE Model):** The American Council on Education's comprehensive internationalisation framework stresses institutional transformation through DEI, agility, and data-driven evaluation—ensuring internationalisation is systemic, not symbolic.

4. **Intercultural and Digital Competencies:** Global-ready graduates possess intercultural communication skills, digital fluency, and transnational problem-solving capacities—critical in a globalised knowledge economy defined by cross-border value chains.

Elevating Research Quality and Innovation Capacity

1. **Collaborative Research Ecosystems:** The establishment of the Anusandhan National Research Foundation aims to integrate global co-funding and interdisciplinary Grand Challenge research in AI, climate science, and biotechnology.

2. **Academia–Industry–Government Triple Helix:** Drawing from Sweden's science park model (e.g., Lindholmen Science Park), integrating universities with innovation clusters enhances translational research and technology commercialisation.

3. **Benchmarking and Competition Effects:** The presence of global institutions compels domestic universities to upgrade governance, faculty recruitment standards, citation impact, and research ethics compliance—fostering systemic quality improvement.

4. **Knowledge Diplomacy and Soft Power:** Through initiatives like Study in India and ICCR scholarships, India can attract students from the Global South, transforming brain drain into brain circulation and strengthening geopolitical partnerships.

Socio-Economic Contribution: From Brain Drain to Brain Gain

1. **Innovation-Led Growth and Start-up Ecosystems:** Internationalised universities act as incubation hubs. India's start-up ecosystem—third largest globally—benefits from globally trained graduates who catalyse deep-tech ventures.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

2. **Human Capital Formation and Demographic Dividend:** With a median age of 28, aligning higher education with global standards ensures productive absorption of India's youthful workforce, reducing structural unemployment.

3. **Regional Equity and Inclusive Access:** Digital internationalisation via Open and Distance Learning (ODL) platforms ensures rural and state universities integrate into global networks, preventing metropolitan concentration of excellence.

Critical Evaluation and Challenges

1. **Commercialisation and Equity Concerns:** Unchecked entry of foreign institutions may exacerbate fee inflation and undermine constitutional commitments to social justice and reservation policies.

2. **Regulatory and Intellectual Property Complexities:** Cross-border research collaborations require robust IP frameworks and GDPR-compliant data-sharing agreements.

3. **Infrastructure and Faculty Constraints:** Internationalisation demands faculty development, research funding expansion, and governance reforms—without which reforms risk superficiality.

Conclusion

As President Dr. S. Radhakrishnan observed, universities shape a nation's moral and intellectual destiny. Internationalising Indian higher education can convert migration pressures into transformative 'brain gain' for a Viksit Bharat.

Evaluate the integration of AI in healthcare through the lens of patients' rights and health equity. Analyze how India can balance technological efficiency with the necessity of retaining human-centric care as the backbone of its public health architecture.

Introduction

India spends nearly 2.1% of GDP on health, while out-of-pocket expenditure remains above 45% (National Health Accounts). As AI expands in diagnostics and surveillance, rights-based integration becomes imperative.

AI in Healthcare: Promise and Practical Limits

1. Artificial Intelligence (AI) has demonstrated value in radiology, pathology, predictive analytics, and workflow optimisation.

2. AI-enabled Clinical Decision Support Systems (CDSS) improve tuberculosis screening and diabetic retinopathy detection in pilot projects.

3. Platforms like eSanjeevani have expanded teleconsultations to rural populations, showcasing AI-assisted scalability.

4. However, systematic reviews in global medical journals show that algorithms performing well in controlled trials often underperform in heterogeneous real-world contexts due to data variability and contextual complexity.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
Forum IAS

Patients' Rights in the Algorithmic Era

A rights-based framework requires reinterpreting healthcare obligations under Article 21 (Right to Life and Health).

1. **Algorithmic Transparency and Explainability:** Deep learning systems often operate as black boxes. Patients must know when AI informs diagnosis or triage decisions. The principle of Explainable AI (XAI) becomes central to informed consent and medical accountability.

2. **Data Sovereignty and Privacy Protection:** The Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 categorises health data as sensitive personal data. Data fiduciaries must ensure anonymisation, purpose limitation, and revocable consent—preventing digital extractivism where private platforms monetise patient data without proportional public benefit.

3. **Right to Human Review and Non-Exclusion:** No patient should be denied care for opting out of AI-mediated pathways. International bioethics standards emphasise human-in-the-loop safeguards for life-critical decisions.

AI and Health Equity: Bridging or Deepening Divides

1. **Addressing Rural-Urban Disparities:** AI-enabled screening tools can empower ASHA workers and primary health centres in underserved districts, mitigating specialist shortages (doctor-population ratio ~1:834 as per WHO benchmark alignment).

2. **Mitigating Algorithmic Bias:** If models are trained predominantly on urban, digitised datasets, they risk reinforcing caste, gender, and regional inequities—violating Article 14 (Equality). Mandatory bias audits and representative datasets are essential to avoid discriminatory outputs.

3. **Language and Accessibility Inclusion:** Multilingual AI interfaces can democratise access, ensuring comprehension among diverse populations rather than privileging English-speaking urban elites. Yet, equity concerns arise if AI deployment favours tertiary private hospitals over strengthening public primary healthcare under Ayushman Bharat and Health and Wellness Centres.

Political Economy and the Risk of Techno-Solutionism

1. AI integration occurs within broader structural constraints: underinvestment in public health, workforce shortages, and regulatory gaps. Overreliance on commercial platforms risks corporatisation and elite capture of care.

2. If publicly funded datasets and digital infrastructure generate proprietary algorithms for private gain, distributive justice concerns emerge. AI must be treated as a Digital Public Good, not merely a profit-maximising platform.

Human-Centric Care as the Backbone

Healthcare transcends pattern recognition; it involves empathy, ethical judgement, and contextual understanding.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

1. **Augmentation, Not Substitution:** AI can reduce administrative burdens—voice-to-text documentation, automated triage, epidemiological forecasting—freeing physicians for relational care.

2. **Labour Impact Safeguards:** Approval of AI tools should include workforce impact assessments, ensuring no arbitrary displacement or algorithmic surveillance of frontline workers such as ASHAs.

3. **Institutionalising Accountability:** Centres of Excellence in AI-health research (e.g., AIIMS initiatives) must embed ethical review boards, audit trails, and grievance redress mechanisms.

Balancing Efficiency with Ethical Governance

India can adopt a Public Health Systems Approach by:

1. Mandating explainability and bias audits.

2. Ensuring AI-supported services remain free at point of use within public systems.

3. Strengthening primary healthcare infrastructure before scaling high-end AI.

4. Embedding community participation in digital health governance.

5. Such measures reconcile technological efficiency with constitutional morality and social justice.

Conclusion

As **Mahatma Gandhi** reminded, 'The best way to find yourself is to lose yourself in the service of others.' AI in healthcare must remain a servant of human dignity, not its substitute.

Examine the role of Gen Z's digital activism and episodic protests in countering global democratic backsliding. Evaluate the efficacy of this decentralized engagement in challenging authoritarianism and fostering sustainable, accountable governance in a technologically mediated political landscape.

Introduction

According to the **Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem) Report 2024**, over **70% of the world's** population lives under autocratising regimes. Amid this democratic recession, Generation Z has emerged as a digitally empowered counter-public.

Democratic Backsliding and the Rise of Digital Native Politics

1. **Context of Democratic Erosion:** Reports by International **IDEA and Freedom House** highlight shrinking civic spaces, weakened institutional checks, and concentration of executive power. Traditional opposition parties and civil society groups often struggle under surveillance regimes and restrictive laws.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
Forum IAS

2. **Emergence of Gen Z as a Political Subject: Generation Z (born 1997–2012),** raised in a hyper-connected ecosystem, engages politics through networked individualism. Unlike earlier ideological mobilisations such as **Occupy Wall Street (2011) or the Arab Spring, Gen Z activism is fluid, decentralised,** and **digitally mediated.**

Anatomy of Gen Z's Digital Activism

1. **Leaderless and Decentralised Mobilisation: Gen Z protests** in **Bangladesh (2024)** and Nepal (2025) illustrate horizontal movements coordinated via encrypted platforms. This structure reduces vulnerability to state repression targeting singular leaders.

2. **Episodic and Issue-Centric Engagement:** Their mobilisation is often burst-mode activism — intense, short-lived protests triggered by corruption scandals, institutional opacity, or perceived injustice. **Climate strikes inspired by Greta Thunberg** demonstrate transnational solidarity without rigid partisan affiliation.

3. **Transnational Digital Public Sphere:** Social media creates what scholars call a networked counter-public, enabling real-time circulation of grievances across borders. Viral documentation of state excess delegitimises authoritarian narratives.

Digital Tools as Democratic 'Shield and Sword'

1. **Mobilisation and Information Verification (Sword): Open-Source Intelligence (OSINT),** livestreaming, and citizen journalism expose corruption and human rights violations, eroding regime legitimacy.

2. **Circumventing Censorship (Shield): Gen Z's digital literacy enables use of VPNs,** encryption, and algorithmic evasion tactics, challenging state-controlled media monopolies. However, this also triggers a digital arms race, where governments deploy AI-based surveillance and predictive policing to pre-empt dissent.

Evaluating the Efficacy of Episodic Engagement

1. **Strengths: Agility and Moral Visibility:** Decentralised protests are adaptive and resilient. They rapidly mobilise collective outrage and reshape public discourse. Even when short-lived, they generate normative pressure on regimes.

2. **Limitations: Institutional Sustainability Gap:** Critics highlight the **slacktivism dilemma** — online engagement without **durable organisational infrastructure.** Unlike sustained movements such as **India's farmers' protest (2020–24),** episodic protests may struggle to convert street energy into legislative reform. Policy transformation requires bridging the **protest-to-policy gap — drafting reforms, institutional negotiation, and electoral participation.**

Psychological and Sociological Dimensions

1. Gen Z combines **radical individualism** with reduced prejudice and greater openness to diversity.

2. Exposure to therapy culture and mental health awareness reflects a politics of introspection. Yet economic **precarity and job-market anxiety generate** fragmented engagement.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

3.   Market-driven identity formation and consumer culture complicate their political ethos — digital dignity sometimes **substitutes structural equality.**

Democratic Renewal or Fragmented Resistance?

1.   For Gen Z activism to foster accountable governance: **Digital rights (privacy, access) must be institutionalised as democratic rights**. Civic education should integrate digital literacy with constitutional values.

2.   Youth participation must transition from networked protest to structured political **engagement — party reform, local governance, and policy drafting.**

3.   Democracy in a technologically mediated landscape requires institutions to adapt to horizontal power structures rather than suppress them.

Conclusion

As Dr. B.R. Ambedkar warned in his Constituent Assembly speech, democracy is not merely a form of government but a mode of associated living. Gen Z must convert digital dissent into durable democratic institutions.

Examine the Pax Silica declaration as the formalization of the India–US strategic technology bloc. Evaluate how the transition from bilateral initiatives like iCET to this cohesive framework secures India's interests in the global semiconductor and AI landscape.

Introduction

According to the **Semiconductor Industry Association (2024), 75% of global chip fabrication capacity** is concentrated in **East Asia.** Amid **rising techno-nationalism**, the **2026 Pax Silica declaration institutionalizes India–US strategic** convergence in critical technologies.

Pax Silica: Institutionalising the Strategic Technology Bloc

1.   **From Bilateralism to Bloc Politics:** The **US-India Initiative** on **Critical and Emerging Technology (iCET) (2023)** laid the groundwork for cooperation in AI, quantum, semiconductors, and defense **innovation. Pax Silica (2026)** transforms these sectoral engagements into a structured techno-strategic coalition alongside the **U.S., UK, Japan, and South Korea.**

2.   **Securitisation of Technology:** Drawing from **the U.S. CHIPS and Science Act (2022) and export controls on sub-7nm chips** to **China, Pax Silica reflects** the fusion of economic security with national security. **Critical and Emerging Technologies (CETs)** are now viewed as dual-use assets shaping both GDP growth and military deterrence.

3.   **The 'Silicon Stack' Doctrine:** Pax Silica conceptualizes the technology **ecosystem end-to-end—from critical minerals to fabrication (fabs) to AI foundation models—**creating a trusted supply-chain architecture.

Securing India's Interests in the Semiconductor Landscape

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
Forum IAS

1.   **Critical Minerals and Upstream Security:** India's **National Critical Mineral Mission (2025)** and lithium discoveries in **Jammu & Kashmir align with Pax Silica's** mineral resilience agenda. The **International Energy Agency (IEA)** warns that demand for lithium may grow **40-fold by 2040.** Participation ensures diversified sourcing beyond Chinese refining dominance (60–70%).

2.   **Fab Integration and Manufacturing Ecosystem:** Through the **India Semiconductor Mission 2.0 and incentives worth $10 billion**, India aims to build fabrication capacity. Pax Silica integrates these efforts into a trusted industrial base, linking Indian fabs with U.S. firms like Micron and Applied Materials. This reduces overdependence on the **First Island Chain (Taiwan–South Korea belt) and enhances supply-chain redundancy**—an imperative highlighted after COVID-19 disruptions.

3.   **Human Capital as Strategic Leverage:** India contributes nearly **20% of the global semiconductor design workforce (SIA Report). Within Pax Silica, this transforms** from service outsourcing to strategic leverage, embedding India into standard-setting and R&D ecosystems.

Commanding Heights of AI: Securing the Algorithmic Frontier

1.   **AI Governance and Standards Leadership:** Pax Silica ensures India's role as a rule-maker in AI safety norms, export controls, and trusted hardware protocols. This complements the **IndiaAI Mission (2024)** and aligns with **OECD AI Principles.**

2.   **Countering Digital Authoritarianism:** The framework acts as a normative counterweight to **China's Pax Sinica model of state-led digital control**. By anchoring AI in democratic governance, it safeguards open digital ecosystems.

3.   **Data and Compute Sovereignty:** Access to advanced **GPUs, cloud compute, and model training infrastructure** prevents India from technological marginalisation. As compute power becomes national power, integration protects India's AI competitiveness.

Strategic Geometry and Geopolitical Leverage

1.   India's continental positioning offers a secure anchor outside **immediate Pacific flashpoints.**

2.   As **QUAD cooperation deepens**, **Pax Silica complements** Indo-Pacific strategy by embedding technology into strategic deterrence architecture.

3.   This marks a philosophical shift: from non-alignment to multi-alignment with purpose. Economic interdependence is now viewed as a shield **against coercive supply-chain weaponisation.**

Risks and Strategic Autonomy Concerns

1.   **The 'Silicon Curtain' Risk:** Bloc formation may intensify techno-bifurcation, compelling India into zero-sum alignment with China.

2.   **Vendor Lock-In and Atmanirbharta:** Overdependence on U.S. intellectual property or equipment could limit indigenous innovation. Strategic autonomy requires **parallel coalitions (EU, ASEAN)** and domestic R&D **investments (2.5% of GDP target).**

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
Forum IAS

3.   **Trade and Regulatory Adjustments:** Aligning with U.S.-style export controls may constrain India's traditional protectionist startup ecosystem, demanding calibrated regulatory reforms.

Conclusion

As President Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam wrote in *India 2020*, technological self-reliance is the foundation of strategic sovereignty. Pax Silica must empower India's rise, not substitute autonomy with alignment.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS