ForumIAS

Mains Marathon

1st week November, 2026

HISTORY
ECONOMICS
POLITY
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENT

FORUMIAS

INDEX

Does transitioning from protectionism to global competition empower Indian steel? Analyze structural hurdles, export targets, and green transition under Vision 2047. 2

Does expanding Jan Vishwas legislative reforms address India's wage crisis? Analyze administrative bottlenecks, digital compliance deficits, and firm productivity imperatives. 3

Does centralizing food safety oversight erode cooperative federalism? Analyze structural bottlenecks, FSSAI-State jurisdiction friction, and policy reforms for food governance. 5

Can secondary cities pioneer low-carbon growth without separate climate funds? Analyze spatial planning, fiscal reallocation, and municipal governance reforms. 6

Has judicial discretion in death penalty sentencing evolved into robust due process? Analyze procedural safeguards. 8

Does the Partners for Multilateralism (P4M) offer a viable hedge against multilateral decay? Analyze structural hurdles and strategic opportunities for India. 9

Does prioritizing global citation metrics distort research priorities? Analyze structural challenges, evaluation reforms, and strategies to balance fundamental and translational science. 11

Does eliminating extreme poverty guarantee widespread prosperity? Analyze structural mobility barriers, informal labor traps, and social security reforms for equitable growth. 12

Does declaring internet access a fundamental right for children conflict with safety? Analyze judicial safeguards, data protection, and platform accountability. 14

Does expanding Agniveer absorption restore pre-2022 recruitment norms? Analyze structural differences, operational efficiency, and fiscal sustainability of the revised framework. 15

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS

Does transitioning from protectionism to global competition empower Indian steel? Analyze structural hurdles, export targets, and green transition under Vision 2047.

Introduction

Draft National Steel Policy: Vision 2047 sets target projections to expand crude steel capacity to 604 MT, boost domestic consumption, and scale steel exports to 45 MT. However, sustaining long-term export competitiveness requires shifting industrial policy from protective measures toward market-driven cost competitiveness, raw material efficiency, and green technology adoption.

Growth and Protection

1. **Second-Largest Producer:** India rose from ninth place in 2000 to 170 Mt output, though China produces 961 Mt.
2. **Profitability:** EBITDA averaged about 15% in 2000-25, 3-4 points above other manufacturing.
3. **Safeguard duty:** A 7.5% tariff plus 11.5% safeguard duty yields 18%, against ASEAN's 3-4%.
4. **QCO Suspensions:** QCOs cover 228 steel products; the Gauba Committee reportedly recommended removing 122, but only 59 are suspended.
5. **Domestic Premium:** Hot-rolled coil sells at a 6-7% premium over high-cost Japan.

Structural Limitations & Protectionism Bottlenecks

1. **Raw Material Dependency & Price Volatility:** High reliance on imported coking coal (~90% imported) and high-grade refractory inputs exposes domestic steelmakers to cost inflation.
2. **High Logistics & Freight Costs:** Inefficient inland logistics and port handling charges raise export landed costs relative to competitors like China, South Korea, and Vietnam. Example: High inland logistics.
3. **Capital Cost & Financing Asymmetries:** Higher cost of capital in India compared to major East Asian producers reduces investment in large-scale modern blast furnaces and scrap-based electric arc furnaces. Example: Capital cost disparity.
4. **Protection-Induced Domestic Inefficiencies:** Prolonged tariff shields lower incentives for domestic producers to invest in specialized grade steel Example: auto-body sheets, electrical steel), leading to reliance on high-value imports. Example: Specialty steel deficit.

Steel Sector Vision 2047 Architecture

1. **Transitioning to Low-Carbon & Green Steel:** Meeting global decarbonization mandates (such as the EU's Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism) requires replacing coal-heavy processes with green hydrogen and scrap-based DRI routes.
2. **Specialty Steel & Value Addition:** Expanding the Production-Linked Incentive (PLI) scheme for specialty steel builds high-margin manufacturing capability similar to India's auto-component success. Example: Specialty steel PLI.
3. **Logistics Integration (PM Gati Shakti):** Dedicated freight corridors and port-led steel manufacturing clusters reduce freight turnarounds, strengthening competitive export parity. Example: Coastal steel clusters.

Green Transition and Exports

1. **CBAM risk:** The EU's carbon border mechanism threatens high-emission steel exports.
2. **Cleaner routes:** Scrap-based electric arc furnaces and green hydrogen DRI reduce carbon intensity.
3. **Value addition:** Specialty steel, such as auto sheets and electrical steel, raises export margins.
4. **Export target:** Reaching 45 Mt requires cost competitiveness, not only protection.
5. **Historical pride:** Jamsetji Tata built India's first steel plant in 1912 without seeking such shields.

Way Forward

1. **Phase Out Defensive Tariffs Gradually:** Shift regulatory focus from import protection to enhancing cost competitiveness, compelling domestic firms to optimize production efficiency and product quality.
2. **Accelerate Green Steel Decarbonization:** Institutionalize carbon intensity targets under Vision 2047 by offering subsidies for green hydrogen-based Direct Reduced Iron (DRI) plants and scrap recycling centers.
3. **Secure Long-Term Raw Material Supply:** Expand domestic coking coal washing capacity, acquire overseas strategic mineral assets, and encourage domestic scrap collection ecosystems.
4. **Modernize the Secondary Steel Sector:** Support MSMEs and secondary producers with technology upgrades, clean energy access, and quality certifications to ensure uniform domestic standards.

Conclusion

As demonstrated by India's automotive and pharmaceutical sectors, exposure to global market discipline drives technological resilience and export growth. Shifting from domestic market protection to cost and carbon efficiency under National Steel Policy: Vision 2047 will establish Indian steel as a competitive global powerhouse, advancing Viksit Bharat 2047 goals.

Does expanding Jan Vishwas legislative reforms address India's wage crisis? Analyze administrative bottlenecks, digital compliance deficits, and firm productivity imperatives.

Introduction

India, the world's second-largest steel producer at 170 Mt, targets 45 Mt exports under Vision 2047; profitability sustained by tariffs, QCOs and cheap iron ore questions whether protection or efficiency underpins competitiveness.

Core Issue

1. India's structural employment challenge is increasingly recognized as a wage deficit rather than a quantitative job deficit, characterized by low labor productivity, vast informalization, and stagnant real wages.
2. Legislative interventions like the Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Acts (2023 & 2026) seek to decriminalize procedural lapses across dozens of Central enactments, fostering trust-based governance.
3. However, expanding these reforms to elevate wage growth requires rectifying historical administrative failures such as weak enforcement capacity, fragmented regulatory power, and poor digital infrastructure integration.

Wage Crisis

1. **Income Gap:** India ranks 128th in per-capita GDP; China's is five times higher, though both were comparable in 1991.
2. **Employed Goverty:** About a third of the labour force toils in employed poverty.
3. **Informality:** Regulatory burdens push enterprises toward informal wage work and self-employment.
4. **Scale Deficit:** Uncertainty prevents entrepreneurs combining land, labour and capital into export-oriented jobs.
5. **Capital Adequacy:** Equity and debt to GDP matches sustainable global benchmarks, so capital is not the constraint.

Structural Limitations & Administrative Bottlenecks

1. **High Regulatory Risk & Compliance Trap:** Over-criminalization of minor administrative lapses (e.g., Factories Act inspection delays) incentivizes firms to remain small and informal, dampening economies of scale and wage expansion.
2. **Power Fragmentation & Federal Misalignment:** State-level labor and commercial inspectorates operate in departmental silos, preventing a single source of truth for enterprise compliance.
3. **Weak Adjudication & Institutional Capacity:** Shifting from criminal courts to administrative Adjudicating Officers risks regulatory capture and delays without trained personnel and operational guidelines.
4. **Fragmented Digital Compliance Platforms:** Incompatible legacy software databases across ministries create reporting redundancies, raising compliance costs for MSMEs.

Legal and Constitutional Basis

1. **Harla (1952):** Supreme Court rejected the enforceability of undisclosed laws, reinforcing the principle that citizens must have reasonable access to the rules governing them. Example: Harla v. State of Rajasthan.
2. **B.K. Srinivasan (1987):** Law must be knowable to compliant and non-compliant alike.
3. **Viraj Impex (2019):** Laws operate only when properly published and brought to public notice.
4. **Ashwasan:** The proposed Transparency of Rules Act would make only published obligations enforceable. Example: Sanjeev Sanyal for a Transparency of Rules Act (TORA).
5. **Global models:** The US Federal Register, UK legislation.gov.uk and EUR-Lex show established precedents.

Enterprise Growth & Wage Expansion

1. **Formalization & Labor Productivity Dividend:** Removing criminal liability for minor paperwork errors encourages MSMEs to scale into medium enterprises, raising marginal labor productivity and wages.
2. **Ease of Doing Business to Ease of Living:** Trust-based civil penalties replace intrusive inspector regimes, allowing firms to reallocate capital toward capital intensity and employee skill training.
3. **Unified Regulatory Single Source of Truth:** Integrating digital compliance across labor and corporate portals reduces asymmetric information, lowering administrative overhead.

Way Forward

1. **Deepen Jan Vishwas Coverage in Labor Laws:** Rationalize minor procedural penalties under the Employees' Provident Funds (EPF) Act and Contract Labour Act, replacing jail threats with civil fines.
2. **Build an Integrated National Enterprise Registry:** Establish a unified digital compliance platform functioning as a single source of truth across Central and State ministries.
3. **Capacity Building for Adjudicating Officers:** Institutionalize independent, trained administrative tribunals to ensure fair, time-bound civil dispute resolution without executive capture.
4. **Link Compliance Easing with Formal Wage Growth:** Tie regulatory exemptions to formal employment generation and skill certification metrics under the National Apprenticeship Scheme.

Conclusion

As emphasized in the Economic Survey 2025–26, raising real wages requires expanding enterprise productivity by dismantling regulatory hurdles. Transitioning from punitive inspector regimes to streamlined digital compliance via Jan Vishwas 2.0 will enable Indian firms to scale, boost labor productivity, and drive inclusive growth.

Does centralizing food safety oversight erode cooperative federalism? Analyze structural bottlenecks, FSSAI-State jurisdiction friction, and policy reforms for food governance.

Introduction

India's food safety framework under the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006, combines standards with state-led enforcement; Maharashtra's 2026 challenge to FSSAI exposes tensions between regulatory uniformity, local accountability and cooperative federalism.

Statutory Design and Federal Balance

1. The Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 created FSSAI to establish science-based standards, while Sections 36–37 empower State Commissioners to appoint Designated Officers and Food Safety Officers.
2. Food adulteration falls under the Concurrent List, whereas public health is principally a State subject.
3. The framework therefore requires national consistency alongside decentralised enforcement.

FSSAI–State Jurisdictional Friction

1. Maharashtra's petition before the Bombay High Court challenges FSSAI's May 2023 order requiring Central Food Safety Officers to inspect centrally licensed establishments, restricting routine inspections by State officers.
2. The dispute also concerns the March 2026 revision of the central licensing threshold from ₹20 crore to ₹50 crore and alleged inadequate consultation. These are contested legal claims, not final judicial findings.

Operational & Federal Bottlenecks

1. **Parallel Enforcement Structures & Encroachment:** Notifications establishing Central Food Safety Officers (CFSOs) and restricting state officers from inspecting centrally licensed establishments dilute provincial oversight.
2. **Digital Centralization & Data Asymmetry:** Centralizing licensing records on the Food Safety Compliance System (FoSCoS) limits state field inspectors' real-time visibility over local food processing plants.
3. **Arbitrary Licensing Turnover Thresholds:** Frequent unilateral revisions of turnover limits for Central vs. State licensing bypass state consultations, shifting high-revenue units to federal oversight.
4. **Capacity & Testing Infrastructure Deficits:** Severe shortages of accredited state food testing laboratories and field food safety officers lead to slow sample analysis and enforcement backlogs.

Cooperative Food Safety Governance

1. **Constitutional Entry Alignment (Concurrent List Item 18/List II Public Health):** Aligning central standard-setting with state ground enforcement respects constitutional jurisdiction while safeguarding public health.
2. **Rapid Emergency Response Protocol:** Local state inspectors possess immediate regional market knowledge necessary to trace contamination outbreaks faster than centralized federal teams.
3. **Consumer Protection & Ease of Doing Business:** Transparent, non-duplicative regulatory oversight prevents harassment of Food Business Operators (FBOs) while curbing food adulteration. Example: Uniform FBO audit norms.

Why Central Oversight Still Matters

1. Central oversight can ensure consistent standards for interstate businesses, e-commerce platforms and high-risk products.

2. Conversely, State officers possess local market knowledge and can respond quickly to contamination incidents.
3. The Economic Survey 2025–26 reports that food processing accounts for 12.91% of organised manufacturing employment; agri-food exports reached $49.43 billion in FY25, strengthening the case for credible safety regulation and export confidence.

Way Forward

1. **Restore Concurrent Enforcement Authority:** Amend FSSAI administrative orders to allow joint inspections of centrally licensed facilities by state food safety officers without requiring prior central clearance.
2. **Institutionalize Shared FoSCoS Data Access:** Grant state food commissioners full administrative access to central registration and compliance databases on FoSCoS to ensure real-time tracking.
3. **Establish a Central-State Food Safety Council:** Create a statutory advisory platform modeled on the GST Council to consult states prior to revising licensing rules or turnover limits.
4. **Upgrade Regional Testing Laboratories:** Allocate central infrastructure grants under Pradhan Mantri KISAN SAMPADA Yojana to modernize state public health labs and train field personnel.
5. **Measure outcomes:** Align the Union Budget 2026–27's food-processing and infrastructure priorities with measurable indicators of testing turnaround, prosecution quality and outbreak response not merely inspection numbers.

Conclusion

As emphasized by the 2nd ARC, regulatory governance in federal setups functions best on mutual trust and functional decentralization. Balancing central standard-setting with empowered state enforcement will ensure consumer safety, protect federal harmony, and support Viksit Bharat 2047 goals.

Can secondary cities pioneer low-carbon growth without separate climate funds? Analyze spatial planning, fiscal reallocation, and municipal governance reforms.

Introduction

With over half of India's urban population in cities below one million and over 50% of 2070 housing unbuilt, secondary cities can pioneer low-carbon growth by aligning existing budgets, planning and governance.

Why Secondary Cities Matter

1. **Avoiding Carbon Lock-In:** Smaller cities can influence where housing, roads and industries develop before car-dependent layouts and energy-intensive buildings become expensive to retrofit. The Economic Survey 2025–26 estimates that India's urban population could reach 600 million by 2036, making better planning urgent.
2. **Climate Co-Benefits:** Heat, flooding and water scarcity share underlying land-use causes. Protecting wetlands, increasing tree cover and preserving natural drainage can simultaneously reduce heat exposure, recharge groundwater and limit flood damage.

Secondary City Climate Resilience Model

1. **Spatial & Active Mobility Integration:** Enhancing street-level walkability, shaded corridors, and transit-oriented development preserves low-carbon commuting habits without massive capital costs. Example: Complete streets design.

2. **Nature-Based Drainage & Urban Sponge Asset Integration:** Reallocating existing municipal maintenance funds toward restoring urban water bodies (lakes, stepwells) builds flood resilience naturally Example: Urban wetland rejuvenation.
3. **Institutionalizing Net-Zero Action Plans:** Utilizing frameworks like the Climate Resilient City Action Plan (CRCAP) under the CapaCITIES initiative enables smaller ULBs to embed net-zero priorities directly into daily civic operations.

Spatial Planning for Low-Carbon Growth

1. **Transit-Oriented Growth:** Integrating housing with public transport, walking and cycling infrastructure can reduce vehicle dependence. The Economic Survey 2025–26 advocates transit-oriented development and stronger public transport rather than isolated infrastructure projects.
2. **Climate-Sensitive Land Use:** Updated master plans should map floodplains, wetlands, groundwater recharge zones and urban heat hotspots. Telangana's cool-roof initiatives and Gorakhpur's exploration of peri-urban agriculture illustrate how building regulations and land preservation can reduce climate risks.
3. **Circular Urban Systems:** Rainwater harvesting, treated wastewater reuse, permeable surfaces and decentralised waste treatment can reduce resource consumption while improving resilience.

Fiscal Reallocation: Existing Budgets as Climate Instruments

1. **Climate-Tagged Municipal Spending:** Municipalities can classify expenditure by mitigation and adaptation outcomes, redirecting routine road maintenance, drainage, water supply and greening budgets towards multiple benefits. Ahmedabad's climate-responsive municipal budgeting offers a practical precedent.
2. **National Grants as Leverage:** AMRUT 2.0, Finance Commission urban grants and PMAY-U investments can support water efficiency, wastewater reuse, energy-efficient buildings and accessible streets when project appraisal incorporates climate outcomes. The Union Budget 2026–27 provides an opportunity to align urban investment with this approach. The ₹1 lakh crore Urban Challenge Fund, approved in 2026, envisages ₹4 lakh crore in urban investment, with climate-responsive infrastructure and reform-linked financing among its priorities.

Governance & Fiscal Reallocation Bottlenecks

1. **Untapped Non-Motorized Transport (NMT) Baselines:** Smaller cities naturally possess high walking and cycling modal shares, yet municipal budgets disproportionately prioritize road-widening for motorized vehicles over pedestrian safety. Example: Pedestrian infrastructure deficit.
2. **Fiscal Misalignment of Scheme Grants:** Intergovernmental transfers (such as 15th Finance Commission urban grants and AMRUT 2.0) are often spent on high-emission gray infrastructure rather than nature-based solutions. Example: Gray infrastructure bias.
3. **Weak Municipal Revenue Autonomy:** Urban Local Bodies (ULBs) in secondary cities depend heavily on state transfers, lacking revenue autonomy, property tax rationalization, or municipal bond leverage to fund green projects. Example: Stagnant property taxation.
4. **Lack of Climate-Integrated Spatial Planning:** Master plans in smaller cities frequently rely on outdated land-use zoning, ignoring micro-climate heat islands, urban wetlands, and natural drainage paths. Example: Floodplain encroachment mapping.

Way Forward

1. **Mandate Climate-Smart Budgeting at the ULB Level:** Adopt formal climate-tagging across all municipal line-item expenditures under statutory Finance Commission grant conditions.

2. **Leverage the Urban Challenge Fund (UCF):** Direct seed money from the national Urban Challenge Fund to Tier-II/III cities to incentivize innovative, spatial-resilience projects.
3. **Upgrade Local Cadre Technical Capacity:** Establish dedicated urban planning and climate engineering cells within smaller municipal corporations to eliminate reliance on external consultants.
4. **Prioritize Green Infrastructure Co-Benefits:** Direct AMRUT 2.0 and PMAY-U housing grants toward energy-efficient building materials, solar rooftop mandates, and decentralized wastewater treatment units.

Conclusion

As highlighted in NITI Aayog's Urban Planning Reform Guidelines, sustainable urbanization depends on empowering secondary cities before high-carbon patterns lock in. Reorienting existing fiscal flows and embedding climate awareness into local spatial planning will enable smaller cities to lead India's low-carbon transition.

Has judicial discretion in death penalty sentencing evolved into robust due process? Analyze procedural safeguards.

Introduction

In Bachan Singh (1980), the Supreme Court upheld capital punishment but required exceptional sentencing; Manoj (2022) strengthened mitigation procedures, yet 95.18% of assessable 2025 trial-court cases reportedly failed compliance, raising questions about due process and implementation.

Evolution of Death Penalty Due Process

1. **Jurisprudential Correction:** Machhi Singh (1983) encouraged crime-centric reasoning, while Ravji (1995) wrongly privileged offence gravity over the offender's circumstances. Santosh Kumar Bariyar (2009) corrected this approach, reaffirming the centrality of reformation.
2. **Mitigating Arbitrary Judicial Discretion:** Bachan Singh (1980) sought to curb subjective sentencing, but empirical studies reveal judges apply the rarest of rare standard inconsistently. Example: Unpredictable bench-dependent sentencing.
3. **Proceduralization of Mitigating Circumstances:** Manoj v. MP (2022) transformed vague mitigation checks into mandatory, proactive state submissions. Example: Mandatory psychiatric profiling.
4. **Holistic Reformative Potential Assessments:** Manoj v. MP (2022), placed the burden on the prosecution to prove that an accused is beyond reform using objective behavioral data. Example: Jail conduct reports.
5. **Legal Representation Deficits at Sentencing:** Indigent death-row convicts often face ineffective legal counsel during the crucial mitigation phase of capital trials. Example: Ineffective legal aid.
6. **Corrective Constitutional Review:** In Vasanta Sampat Dupare v. Union of India (2025), the Court held that serious breaches of these safeguards could justify reopening a concluded sentencing process under Article 32.

Constitutional and Reformative Imperatives

1. **Substantive Due Process (Article 21):** Post-Maneka Gandhi (1978), procedures depriving life must be fair, just, and reasonable, requiring strict procedural safeguards. Example: Article 21 fairness.
2. **Institutionalization of Mitigation Specialists:** Integrating probation officers, psychologists, and social workers into trial defenses establishes evidence-based sentencing. Example: Mitigation specialist integration.

3. **Alignment with International Standards:** Following the UN Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) and the Law Commission 262nd Report, judicial norms increasingly favor narrowing capital offences. Example: Law Commission 262nd.

Persisting Gaps

1. **Implementation:** Trial courts sentenced 128 people to death in 2025; 95.18% of assessable cases skipped Manoj.
2. **Acquittals:** Nearly a third of High Court and Supreme Court death sentences ended in acquittal over a decade.
3. **Flawed investigations:** Supreme Court acquitted in 10 of 19 cases in 2025, citing flawed prosecutions.
4. **Forensic opacity:** Dupare noted confessions extracted in opacity and forensics of doubtful rigour.
5. **Legal aid deficit:** Indigent convicts often receive ineffective counsel at mitigation stage.

Way Forward

1. **Institutionalize Dedicated Mitigation Cells:** Establish specialized mitigation investigator units within State Legal Services Authorities (SLSA) to gather comprehensive social-background evidence.
2. **Standardize Trial Court Sentencing Guidelines:** Frame clear, objective rules under Section 354(3) CrPC (and BNSS provisions) to ensure uniform application of mitigating factors across district courts.
3. **Mandate Pre-Sentence Psychological Evaluations:** Direct state mental health boards to conduct independent psychological assessments of capital accused prior to trial completion.
4. **Refer Sentencing Inconsistencies to a Constitution Bench:** Convene a Constitution Bench to reconcile conflicting Supreme Court rulings on capital punishment and lay down binding guidelines for lower courts.
5. **Reassess proportionality:** Implement the Law Commission's reformative approach through informed legislative and public deliberation.

Conclusion

As emphasized by the 262nd Law Commission Report, procedural fairness is essential when the state claims the power to take a human life. Evolving from judicial discretion (Bachan Singh) to structured procedural safeguards (Manoj v. MP) strengthens constitutional due process under Article 21, reinforcing human dignity and rule of law.

Does the Partners for Multilateralism (P4M) offer a viable hedge against multilateral decay? Analyze structural hurdles and strategic opportunities for India.

Introduction

Launched on 21 September 2026 during the 81st UNGA, Partners for Multilateralism (P4M), co-sponsored by seven partners, seeks to defend international law and renew institutions amid protectionism, geopolitical rivalry and multilateral paralysis.

Partners for Multilateralism (P4M) Model

1. **Preserving Functional Rules-Based Order:** Quiet coalitions provide pragmatic platforms to sustain international norms on climate action, pandemic readiness, and trade policy. Example: Norm preservation platforms.
2. **Bridging Global North-South Divides:** P4M offers middle powers and developing economies a practical space to build consensus outside polarized bilateral rivalries. Example: Middle-power coalition building.

3. **Expanding India's Strategic Autonomy:** Participating in coalitions like P4M complements India's engagement in the G20, BRICS, and Quad, strengthening its role as a Vishwa Bandhu (global friend). Example: Strategic autonomy balance.

Structural Drivers of Multilateral Erosion & P4M Dynamics

1. **Great Power Unilateralism & Institutional Paralysis:** Rejection of multilateral treaties and deadlock in the UNSC weaken core international bodies. Example: UNSC veto deadlock.
2. **Fragmentation into Minilateral Coalitions:** Growing reliance on flexible issue-based groupings risks further fragmenting global rules without replacing universal platforms. Example: Minilateral proliferation risk.
3. **Funding Deficits & Delivery Gaps:** Traditional multilateral agencies face severe budget cutbacks, hindering global climate finance and humanitarian delivery. Example: UN budget shortfalls.
4. **Global South Exclusion in Governance:** Bretton Woods institutions and traditional coalitions often under-represent developing nations, exacerbating institutional mistrust. Example: Bretton Woods asymmetry.

Strategic Opportunities for India

1. **Geopolitical-Strategic Autonomy:** As a co-sponsor alongside Australia, Brazil, Barbados, Canada, the EU and Kenya, India can build cross-regional partnerships without joining a rigid bloc.
2. **Constitutional and Legal-Rules-Based Order:** P4M's commitment to the UN Charter, sovereign equality and peaceful dispute settlement aligns with India's advocacy of reformed multilateralism.
3. **Economic-Resilient Globalisation:** Its agenda includes diversified supply chains, fair trade and improved international financial architecture. The Economic Survey 2025–26's emphasis on strategic resilience and global integration reinforces their domestic relevance.
4. **Technological Digital Public Goods:** India can offer digital public infrastructure and share experience in digital governance, while encouraging inclusive international norms for artificial intelligence.
5. **Ecological and Social-Global Public Goods:** Cooperation on climate action, global health and development finance can amplify India's concerns about climate justice and the needs of vulnerable populations.

Is P4M Viable? A Conditional Assessment

1. The P4M declaration supports reforming the UN, strengthening international law and coordinating on AI, climate change, health and international finance.
2. However, it establishes political commitments, not an enforcement mechanism or guaranteed financing. Its effectiveness therefore remains unproven.
3. India's Union Budget 2026-27 prioritises economic resilience and competitiveness amid global volatility.
4. While not a direct P4M commitment, this domestic emphasis reinforces the need for international cooperation that delivers tangible economic and technological benefits.

Way Forward

1. **Anchor Flexible Coalitions to Universal Bodies:** Ensure P4M acts as a complementary pillar to the UN system rather than replacing comprehensive multilateral institutions.
2. **Prioritize Tangible Global Public Goods:** Focus P4M initiatives on targeted, measurable outcomes such as digital public infrastructure (DPI) sharing, clean energy tech transfer, and debt restructuring.
3. **Institutionalize Global South Representation:** Ensure equitable participation for African, Latin American, and Pacific island nations to maintain political legitimacy.

4. **Strengthen Minilateral-Multilateral Linkages:** Use consensus built in quiet coalitions like P4M to unlock stalled negotiations at formal UN and WTO platforms.

Conclusion

As emphasized in India's Foreign Policy Framework, multilateralism requires reform rather than abandonment. Leveraging pragmatic, issue-focused platforms like P4M alongside universal institutions enables middle powers to safeguard global stability, promote equitable governance.

Does prioritizing global citation metrics distort research priorities? Analyze structural challenges, evaluation reforms, and strategies to balance fundamental and translational science.

Introduction

The "top 2% scientists" database, built on Scopus citation records, is increasingly treated as an achievement benchmark in India, yet prioritising such metrics risks distorting research priorities, discouraging fundamental and translational work.

Foundational Argument

1. The annual publication of global citation indexes such as the Stanford University Top 2% Scientists List, frequently serves as a benchmark for institutional prestige in India.
2. However, anchoring scientific merit primarily to citation counts, h-indexes, or quantitative database inclusion risks distorting national R&D incentives.
3. To achieve the goals of Viksit Bharat 2047, India's science ecosystem must move beyond citation-centric validation toward a balanced paradigm that values both curiosity-driven fundamental discovery and locally responsive, high-impact translational solutions.

Structural Limitations of Metric-Centric Science Research

1. **Paper-Count Culture & Hyper-Publishing Traps:** Over-reliance on citation metrics incentivizes quantity over quality, contributing to predatory publishing, citation circles, and superficial micro-papers. Example: Predatory journal proliferation.
2. **Neglect of Indigenous & Translational Research:** Globally benchmarked metrics favor Western-centric research priorities, disincentivizing work on hyper-local challenges like neglected tropical diseases, regional crop stress, or rural energy solutions. Example: Neglected disease research gap.
3. **Asymmetry Between Fundamental & Applied Science:** Pure basic research (which yields long-term, hard-to-quantify breakthroughs) and socio-economic translational engineering are marginalized in favor of quick-turnaround publications. Example: Basic science under-funding.
4. **Distorted Academic Promotion Systems:** Institutional hiring and promotion criteria heavily weight bibliometric outputs over patent commercialization, tech transfers, teaching quality, or community engagement. Example: Metric-linked faculty appraisals.

Consequences for India's Science Ecosystem

1. **Resource Efficiency:** India's GERD reached ₹2.45 lakh crore in 2023–24, equivalent to 0.84% of GDP, according to DST's R&D Statistics 2025–26. The Economic Survey 2025–26 also highlights the comparatively low R&D intensity and business-sector contribution in its dataset. Scarce resources therefore require outcome-oriented allocation, not ranking-led incentives.
2. **Technological Sovereignty:** Excessive emphasis on citations can weaken indigenous problem-solving in semiconductors, biotechnology, quantum technologies and clean energy.

3. **Local Relevance:** Research on neglected diseases, agricultural stress and rural energy may generate limited citations despite substantial public value.

Balanced National Research Model

1. **Fostering High-Risk Fundamental Discovery:** Sustained state support for basic theoretical research under the Anusandhan National Research Foundation (ANRF) lays the groundwork for future technological sovereignty.
2. **Accelerating Lab-to-Market Translation:** Deploying patient capital platforms like the ₹1 Lakh Crore Research, Development, and Innovation (RDI) Fund bridges the pilot-to-commercialization gap (Technology Readiness Levels 4 to 9).
3. **Reforming Evaluation Standards (DORA Alignment):** Adopting the principles of the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA) shifts focus from journal impact factors to qualitative assessment of actual scientific and societal contributions.

Way Forward

1. **Adopt Multi-Criteria Research Appraisals:** Reform university appraisal systems (UGC and CSIR frameworks) to evaluate scientists based on patents granted, technologies commercialized, policy impacts, and open-data contributions alongside publications.
2. **Harmonize ANRF Funding Allocation:** Operationalize ANRF's dual mandate—funding broad-based curiosity research (horizontal grants) while driving targeted mission-mode solutions (vertical DARPA-style projects) for pressing national needs.
3. **Incentivize Industry-Academia R&D Partnerships:** Leverage private sector capital under the RDI Scheme to fund joint industry-academic consortia, measuring success by commercial viability and import substitution.
4. **Strengthen Indian Peer-Reviewed Journals:** Modernize domestic academic journals and open-access repositories to build credible regional publishing ecosystems independent of international commercial index monopolies.
5. **DORA Principles:** Adopt the San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment, evaluating actual research contributions rather than journal impact factors.
6. **Qualitative Assessment:** Account for disciplinary differences, authorship roles, originality, research integrity and regional-language contributions. The Swiss National Science Foundation's CV approach, which excludes citation counts and rankings, offers a useful precedent.

Conclusion

Scientific excellence cannot be reduced to a single global database or citation rank. Reorienting India's scientific ecosystem by balancing fundamental inquiry with practical problem-solving under the ANRF will ensure that scientific research serves as a direct engine for national self-reliance and global innovation.

Does eliminating extreme poverty guarantee widespread prosperity? Analyze structural mobility barriers, informal labor traps, and social security reforms for equitable growth.

Introduction

India has lifted millions out of extreme poverty, yet survival-level gains do not guarantee secure livelihoods. The challenge is converting poverty reduction into productive employment, rising incomes and economic security.

Poverty Reduction versus the Prosperity Gap

1. **Remarkable Progress:** The World Bank's April 2026 India Development Update estimates that 496 million people exited poverty between 2011–12 and 2023–24, with extreme poverty falling from 27.1% to 2.6%. Yet around 232 million remained below the $4.20 lower-middle-income poverty line.
2. **Multidimensional Improvement:** OPHI's Global MPI recorded a decline in India's multidimensional poverty from 53.8% in 2005–06 to 16.4% in 2019–21. However, access to quality services remains uneven across regions.

Structural Barriers to Upward Mobility

1. **Informal Work & Precarity Trap:** Over 85% of India's workforce remains in informal employment, lacking formal contracts, paid leave, or statutory social safety nets. Example: Informal employment dominance.
2. **Real Wage Stagnation & Underemployment:** Despite sustained GDP growth, real wage growth in rural and low-skilled urban sectors remains muted, driving widespread underemployment. Example: Rural wage stagnation.
3. **Human Capital & Capability Deficits:** Unequal access to quality healthcare, vocational training, and tertiary education restricts youth from transitioning to high-productivity formal jobs. Example: Skill mismatch gap.
4. **Gender Disparity in Labor Force Participation:** Low Female Labor Force Participation (FLFP) limits household income diversification and slows structural poverty-to-prosperity transitions. Example: Low FLFP constraint.

Informal Labour Traps and Social Security Deficits

1. **Limited Protection:** Gig workers, casual labourers and self-employed workers often lack adequate pensions, health insurance and disability coverage. The Economic Survey 2025–26 notes that over 31 crore unorganised workers have registered on e-Shram, but registration must translate into effective benefits.
2. **Health-Related Impoverishment:** High out-of-pocket expenditure on healthcare can push vulnerable households back into poverty, making accessible public healthcare and PM-JAY important safeguards.
3. **Consumption Versus Capability:** Food transfers under PMGKAY protect immediate consumption, but durable prosperity requires productive assets, skills and stable employment.

Equitable Prosperity Governance Model

1. **Transition from Basic Relief to Asset Creation:** Evolving safety nets from basic consumption support (e.g., PM Garib Kalyan Anna Yojana) toward productive asset creation enhances long-term earning capacity. Example: Productive safety nets.
2. **Universalizing Health & Disability Risk Coverage:** Expanding health insurance under PM-JAY mitigates catastrophic out-of-pocket health expenses, which remain a primary cause of households falling back into poverty. Example: Out-of-pocket health shocks.
3. **Formalizing Micro-Enterprises & Credit Access:** Expanding targeted financial inclusion schemes like PM MUDRA Yojana and PM SVANidhi helps informal micro-entrepreneurs scale businesses into formal entities. Example: Micro-credit enterprise scaling.

Way Forward

1. **Expand Social Security Integration for Informal Workers:** Capitalize the Social Security Fund under the Code on Social Security to ensure universal pension, life, and disability coverage for unorganized labor.
2. **Shift Focus to Middle-Skill Formal Employment:** Align national skill development programs (PMKVY) with high-growth sectors such as green technology, advanced manufacturing, and care services.

3. **Strengthen Urban Social Protection:** Introduce an urban employment guarantee framework tailored for informal service workers to protect against economic downturns.
4. **Improve Public Service Quality to Lower Private Outlays:** Enhance the quality of state-provided primary healthcare and basic education to reduce household spending on private providers, unlocking savings for capital investment.

Conclusion

As emphasized in the Economic Survey 2025–26, moving from poverty alleviation to shared prosperity requires bridging productivity gaps across regions and sectors. Transitioning from basic safety nets to capability-enhancing welfare policies will foster upward social mobility and ensure inclusive growth under Viksit Bharat 2047.

Does declaring internet access a fundamental right for children conflict with safety? Analyze judicial safeguards, data protection, and platform accountability.

Introduction

India's expanding digital ecosystem offers children unprecedented opportunities, alongside growing online risks. The Supreme Court's 2026 ruling recognises their internet access as a fundamental right, demanding safeguards without exclusion.

Judicial Safeguards

1. **Supreme Court Recognition:** In Just Rights for Children Alliance v. S. Harish (2026), the Supreme Court recognised internet access under Articles 21 and 19(1)(a), holding that children enjoy this right irrespective of age.

2. **Rights-Based Approach:** Internet access enables education, creativity, information and self-expression; denying access altogether can deepen the digital divide.
3. **False Dichotomy:** Court held that safety and freedom being at odds is a false notion. Example: Safety-freedom balance.
4. **Digital Jurisprudence:** Anuradha Bhasin (2020) protected online expression; Pragya Prasun (2025) linked digital access with Article 21; Cricket Association of Bengal (1995) recognised the right to receive information under Article 19(1)(a).
5. **Proportionality Principle:** Following K.S. Puttaswamy (2017), restrictions must satisfy legality, legitimate purpose, necessity and proportionality. Blanket bans risk excessive interference with constitutional freedoms.

Implications of Digital Rights vs. Safety for Minors

1. **Protection Against Over-Inclusive Exclusion:** Blanket online restrictions deprive children of gateway opportunities in education, skill development, and creative expression. Example: Digital learning barrier.
2. **Mitigating Child Sexual Exploitation (CSEAM):** Pervasive exposure to harmful content requires stringent platform enforcement rather than punitive bans on child users. Example: National CSEAM database.
3. **Consent & Privacy Friction under DPDP Act:** Mandating verifiable parental consent for data processing under the Digital Personal Data Protection (DPDP) Act, 2023 risks excessive collection of personal surveillance data. Example: Parental consent profiling.
4. **Corporate Accountability Deficits:** Algorithmic design features like infinite scroll, dark patterns, and targeted ads prioritize user engagement over youth mental health. Example: Platform dark patterns.

Data Protection

1. **Verifiable Consent:** DPDP Act, 2023 requires verifiable parental consent for processing children's data.
2. **Data Minimisation:** Age verification and consent mechanisms can generate excessive personal data collection.
3. **Proportionality Test:** Puttaswamy (2017) requires legality, necessity and proportionality for rights restrictions.
4. **Privacy by Default:** Child accounts need strict default privacy settings.
5. **Behavioural Profiling:** Law bars tracking and targeted advertising directed at children.

Platform Accountability

1. **Engagement Design:** Infinite scroll and dark patterns prioritise engagement over youth mental health.
2. **Safe harbour:** Section 79, IT Act conditions intermediary immunity on due diligence.
3. **Illegal Content:** Platforms must detect and remove child sexual abuse material under POCSO obligations.
4. **Content Restriction:** Obscene material needs effective restriction by service providers.
5. **Shared Responsibility:** Parents, government, schools and providers must act together.

Way Forward

1. **Establish Age-Graded Access Guidelines:** Formulate tiered, age-appropriate regulatory rules Example: strict parental controls below age 13, guided adolescent profiles for ages 13–18) instead of blanket bans.
2. **Mandate Algorithmic Audits & Safety Default Rules:** Amend the **Information Technology Intermediary Guidelines** to prohibit targeted advertising, behavioral profiling, and addictive loops aimed at minors.
3. **Enhance Institutional Reporting & CSEAM Tracking:** Deploy automated hash-matching technology linked to a national database to detect and remove child abuse material across digital networks.
4. **Promote School-Level Digital Literacy:** Integrate cybersecurity, consent awareness, and responsible digital citizenship into core school curricula through the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020.

Conclusion

As noted in the Just Rights for Children Alliance (2026) ruling, shielding children from digital harm must not come at the cost of alienating them from the modern digital ecosystem. Balancing statutory oversight under the DPDP Act with intermediary accountability will secure both safety and freedom, advancing equitable governance under Viksit Bharat 2047.

Does expanding Agniveer absorption restore pre-2022 recruitment norms? Analyze structural differences, operational efficiency, and fiscal sustainability of the revised framework.

Introduction

The November 2026 Agnipath revision widens the route to regular military service, but retains contractual engagement and merit-based screening, raising important questions about force readiness, recruitment and fiscal sustainability.

Structural Differences of Revised Agnipath vs. Legacy Recruitment

1. **Tiered Screening vs. Immediate Direct Tenure:** Pre-2022 recruits entered directly with a 15–17 year pensionable service commitment; the revised model mandates a 4+2 year screening process before regular status conversion. Example: Two-stage merit filtration.
2. **Age Profile & Combat Fitness Optimization:** Retaining phased evaluation ensures the average combat age profile remains around 26 years, preserving military agility unlike the legacy system's aging non-commissioned officer profile. Example: Youthful unit age profile.
3. **Fiscal Efficiency & Pension Liability Structuring:** Regular cadre benefits apply only after successful 6-year completion, controlling the overall pension bill compared to pre-2022 open-ended pensionable entries. Example: Deferred pension commitments.
4. **Trained Manpower Retention Dividend:** Extending service by two years prevents the premature exit of specialized technical skills (cyber, radar, electronics), ensuring high ROI on initial military training expenditure. Example: Technical skill retention.

Operational Efficiency and Strategic Readiness

1. **Manpower Replenishment:** The additional engagement can accelerate the filling of personnel gaps arising from recruitment interruptions during the COVID-19 period and subsequent retirements.
2. **Experience Retention:** Retaining trained personnel for six years preserves institutional knowledge, tactical familiarity and investment in military training.
3. **Youthful Force Profile:** The original recruitment age of 17.5–21 years and successive screening retain a relatively young manpower base.
4. **Competitive Performance:** Multiple selection stages may encourage discipline, physical fitness and professional excellence, provided assessment remains transparent.
5. **Changing Warfare:** Longer retention can help preserve trained personnel in cyber operations, electronic warfare, radar systems and drone operations.

Fiscal Sustainability

1. **Defence Modernisation:** The Union Budget 2026–27 allocated ₹7.85 lakh crore to defence, including ₹2.19 lakh crore for capital expenditure and ₹1.71 lakh crore for pensions. Personnel costs must be balanced against investment in modern equipment.
2. **Pension Implications:** Greater regular absorption may increase future salary and pension commitments, potentially reducing the expected fiscal savings of the original short-tenure model.
3. **Seva Nidhi Mechanism:** Under the original four-year arrangement, 30% of salary is contributed by the Agniveer and matched by the government; the exit package was approximately ₹11.71 lakh, including interest. The revised six-year exit package is enhanced.
4. **Fiscal Trade-Off:** The reform may improve retention and reduce repeated training costs, but its net savings must be assessed against additional remuneration, benefits and future pension liabilities.

Social and Governance Implications

1. **Career Certainty:** A longer pathway addresses uncertainty among young recruits, although final absorption remains conditional.
2. **Civilian Transition:** Those exiting after four or six years need effective skill certification, employment support and access to CAPF and state-police opportunities.
3. **Institutional Fairness:** Clear rules on evaluation, medical fitness, seniority and appeals are essential to prevent arbitrary selection.

Way Forward

1. **Institutionalize Objective Performance Metrics:** Standardize annual appraisal benchmarks across units to ensure transparent, bias-free screening during both 4-year and 6-year transition checkpoints.

2. **Expand Cross-Skilling & Technical Specialization:** Use the two-year extension window to train soldiers in advanced warfare domains (drone piloting, electronic warfare, high-altitude logistics).
3. **Harmonize Seniority and Career Progression:** Enforce clear statutory guidelines on seniority protection and lateral integration once Agniveers transition into regular ranks.
4. **Sustain Civil-Military Lateral Employment Corridors:** Continue strengthening lateral absorption quotas in Central Armed Police Forces (CAPFs) and state police for personnel who choose to exit after 6 years.

Conclusion

As noted by military planners, defense reform requires balancing tactical readiness with financial sustainability. The revised Agnipath scheme does not abandon the goal of force modernization; rather, it refines short-term enlistment into a high-performance screening framework, strengthening national security.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS