ForumIAS

Mains Marathon

3rd Week Oct, 2026

FORUMIAS

HISTORY
ECONOMICS
POLITY
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
GEOGRAPHY AND ENVIRONMENT

INDEX

How can India's agricultural innovations and safety net models strengthen Global South food security? Analyze key institutional mechanisms and implementation challenges. 2

Does addressing India's growing diabetes epidemic require transforming school-level dietary habits? Analyze the role of integrating traditional knowledge with public health policies. 3

Does religious conversion alter affirmative action eligibility? Analyze the constitutional implications of judicial rulings restricting caste reservation benefits upon changing faith. 4

Is the world drifting into a heteropolar era of billionaire-controlled geopolitics? Analyze the challenge posed by non-state oligarchs to state sovereignty. 6

Should India join the Open Coalition on Compliance Carbon Markets? Analyze the strategic, economic, and regulatory implications for national decarbonization. 7

Evaluating India-US economic ties through transactional tariff relief undermines strategic autonomy. Examine this view in light of shifting global power dynamics. 9

Does weaponized agentic AI expose fundamental weaknesses in India's cybersecurity architecture? Analyze necessary statutory, operational, and technical safeguards for data resilience. 10

Does deep domestic risk capital hold the key to transforming India from a tech-services hub into an IP-owning product economy? Analyze 12

Does breaking geographic locking under ONORC empower PDS beneficiaries? Analyze the operational, technological, and federal challenges in modernizing food security. 13

Does balancing systemic UN structural reform with Great Power retention limit global governance efficacy? Analyze in the context of emerging tech risks. 14

Does Section 17A of the Prevention of Corruption Act shield official discretion or foster executive impunity? Analyze in light of recent judicial rulings. 16

Does centralizing climate-resilient, season-independent crops empower smallholders beyond MSP protections? Analyze the governance and institutional shifts necessary for sustainable livelihoods... 17

How can India's agricultural innovations and safety net models strengthen Global South food security? Analyze key institutional mechanisms and implementation challenges.

Introduction

World Food Day 2026's theme, Innovate today. Nourish tomorrow, underscores urgency: India's food safety net reaches about 81 crore people, while its agricultural innovations offer adaptable lessons for climate-stressed Global South economies. Facing climate shocks, geopolitical conflicts, and supply chain disruptions, India's experience anchored by the world's largest food safety net—provides critical lessons for global food security.

Safety-Net Architecture

1. **Legal entitlement:** The National Food Security Act (NFSA), 2013, institutionalises subsidised grain for up to 75% of rural and 50% of urban populations, translating Article 47's nutritional objective into welfare delivery. Example: Rights-based security.
2. **Procurement and distribution:** The Food Corporation of India, decentralised procurement and the Public Distribution System connect farmers' output with household entitlements. The Union Budget 2026–27 allocates ₹2.27 lakh crore to PMGKAY, demonstrating the model's scale. Example: Grain security.
3. **Digital Transformation of Public Distribution:** Target delivery via end-to-end digitisation and biometrically authenticated distribution eliminates leakages and guarantees entitlements. Example: One Nation One Ration Card / NFSA.
4. **Climate-Resilient Agri-Innovations:** Expanding bio-fortified crop varieties and bio-stimulants buffers yields against extreme weather events. Example: ICAR climate-resilient seeds.
5. **Nutritional Security & Crop Diversification:** Re-positioning climate-smart millets (Shree Anna) under South-South cooperation mitigates soil degradation and micro-nutrient deficiencies. Example: International Year of Millets initiative.
6. **Financial Insulation for Smallholders:** Direct Benefit Transfers (DBT) protect vulnerable smallholder farmers from input price volatility. Example: PM-KISAN income support.
7. **Decentralized Storage & Supply Logistics:** Strengthening post-harvest infrastructure at the panchayat level reduces grain spoilage and localized market panics. Example: Agriculture Infrastructure Fund / AIF.

Global South Transferability

1. **Digital goods:** Farmer registries and open platforms can be adapted for agrarian delivery, targeting and advisory services in partner countries. Example: AgriStack.
2. **Climate-smart crops:** Millets, biofortified seeds and resilient varieties address soil degradation, micronutrient deficiency and heat stress, building on the International Year of Millets. Example: Shree Anna.
3. **Humanitarian reach:** Emergency grain shipments build trust, fill short-term deficits and project India as a responsible Global South partner. Example: Food diplomacy.
4. **Institutional partners:** FAO, IFAD and WFP supply technical, financial and logistics expertise; each IFAD dollar in India has leveraged over three in investment. Example: IFAD leverage.
5. **Innovation tools:** Grain ATMs and Clean Milk Scorecards show scalable, low-cost technologies. Example: Grain ATMs.

Key Bottlenecks in Model Exportability

1. **Fiscal Burden of Broad-Based Subsidies:** High fiscal outlays required for open-ended grain procurement and food subsidies remain difficult to replicate in debt-distressed economies. Example: High MSP procurement costs.
2. **Digital Infrastructure Deficits Abroad:** Limited internet penetration and low digital literacy in parts of sub-Saharan Africa hinder rapid replication of biometric distribution models. Example: Connectivity infrastructure gaps.
3. **Storage Loss & Quality Degradation:** Perishable logistics deficits and inadequate cold-chain integration continue to cause post-harvest food loss. Example: Cold chain gap.

Way Forward

1. **Institutionalize South-South Agri-Tech Alliances:** Establish dedicated technology-sharing hubs under the IBSA and G20 Global South Centre of Excellence frameworks to export scalable DPI tools.
2. **Promote Bio-Fortified Local Food Systems:** Partner with regional organizations (such as the African Union and ASEAN) to climate-proof local crop cycles using bio-fortified indigenous seed variants.
3. **Transition to Nutrition-Sensitive Public Distribution:** Integrate pulses, coarse grains, and fortified staples into public welfare programs to address global hidden hunger.
4. **Deploy Blended Climate Finance:** Leverage multilateral development banks (MDBs) to co-finance smallholder irrigation and solar cold-chain storage across developing partner states.

Conclusion

Reiterating the spirit of Vasudhaiva Kutumbakam and India's commitment to SDG 2 (Zero Hunger), sharing indigenous agricultural innovations and robust social safety models will turn the principle of Innovate today, nourish tomorrow into a lasting foundation for global resilience.

Does addressing India's growing diabetes epidemic require transforming school-level dietary habits? Analyze the role of integrating traditional knowledge with public health policies.

Introduction

The ICMR–INDIAB study estimates 101 million Indians have diabetes and 136 million pre-diabetes; school food environments therefore offer a critical, equitable starting point for preventing lifelong metabolic disease through early intervention nationwide.

Why Schools Matter

1. **Early Prevention:** Diets rich in refined carbohydrates, sugary drinks and ultra-processed foods, combined with inactivity and inadequate sleep, can increase obesity and metabolic risks. Schools shape lifelong habits. Example: Childhood prevention.

2. **Economic Imperative:** Diabetes raises household healthcare costs and reduces productivity. Investing in prevention protects human capital and supports the Viksit Bharat 2047 vision. Example: Productive population.

3. **Constitutional Responsibility:** Article 47 directs the State to improve nutrition and public health; Article 21's interpretation as protecting life reinforces the importance of children's health. Example: Public health duty.

Traditional Integration

1. **Millet revival:** Low-glycaemic Shree Anna in PM-POSHAN displaces refined carbohydrates, building on International Year of Millets. Example: Millet Mondays.
2. **Local breakfast:** Ragi, sattu, peanuts and eggs offer seasonal protein, addressing breakfast-skipping among urban children. Example: Ragi breakfast.
3. **AYUSH lifestyle:** Dinacharya routines and seasonal eating complement modern screening for early pre-diabetes detection. Example: Dinacharya routines.
4. **Cultural ethos:** "Aahar shuddhau sattva shuddhi" frames food as medicine, improving community acceptance of reforms. Example: Food-medicine ethos.
5. **Kitchen gardens:** Small school gardens teach agro-ecological knowledge and fresh vegetable consumption. Example: School gardens.

Policy Architecture

1. **Campus Rules:** FSSAI school-food regulations restrict HFSS sales near campuses; Eat Right School certifies canteens. Example: Eat Right School.
2. **Labelling:** The Survey favours warning labels over proposed star ratings on packaged foods. Example: Warning labels.
3. **Digital Health:** Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission records can track annual BMI and metabolic markers. Example: ABDM records.
4. **Physical Literacy:** Only 18% of adolescents meet activity norms; Fit India can restore PT periods. Example: Fit India.
5. **Hydration:** Water Bells and sugar-free zones curb sugar-sweetened beverage consumption in schools. Example: Water Bells.

Way Forward

1. **Mandate Statutory FOPL Warnings:** Enforce clear, color-coded Front-of-Pack Warning Labels on processed foods under FSSAI regulations to restrict high-sugar dietary intakes.
2. **Institutionalize 'Eat Right School' Frameworks:** Scale FSSAI's Eat Right School initiative across rural and urban institutions, prohibiting canteen sales of sugar-sweetened beverages.
3. **Deploy Digital Child Health Profiles:** Utilize Ayushman Bharat Digital Mission (ABDM) infrastructure to track annual Body Mass Index (BMI) and metabolic health markers during mandatory school check-ups.
4. **Promote Physical Literacy Mandates:** Enforce a daily 45-minute mandatory physical activity and sports period across all primary and secondary school curricula.

Conclusion

As emphasized in NITI Aayog's National NCD Prevention Framework and Economic Survey priorities on human capital investment, combating diabetes requires early, preventative intervention. Blending traditional dietary wisdom with modern science in schools will safeguard childhood health and support Viksit Bharat 2047.

Does religious conversion alter affirmative action eligibility? Analyze the constitutional implications of judicial rulings restricting caste reservation benefits upon changing faith.

Introduction

The Supreme Court upheld the Madras High Court's verdict quashing a 2024 Tamil Nadu Government Order, ruling that religious conversion from Hinduism to Islam does not automatically entitle an individual to claim Backward Class (Muslim) reservation. Reaffirming the constitutional framework under Articles 15(4) and 16(4), the judiciary clarified that social backwardness tied to an antecedent social identity cannot be seamlessly carried forward into a newly adopted religious fold.

Constitutional & Jurisprudential Facets of Conversion and Reservation

1. **Non-Portability of Antecedent Backwardness:** Social disadvantage tied to the Hindu caste hierarchy does not automatically transfer to egalitarian non-Hindu religious frameworks upon conversion. Example: Antecedent disability non-carryover.
2. **Statutory Identification vs. Individual Volition:** Affirmative action criteria under Article 16(4) require independent statutory socio-economic empirical checks rather than individual conversion declarations. Example: Mandatory statutory identification.
3. **Reconversion & Social Acceptance Standard:** As established in K.P. Manu v. Chairman, Scrutiny Committee (2015), reservation benefits upon reconversion to the original fold depend on proving community acceptance. Example: Community acceptance test.
4. **Constitutional Order Restrictions for SCs:** Paragraph 3 of the Constitution (Scheduled Castes) Order, 1950 restricts SC status exclusively to individuals professing Hinduism, Sikhism, or Buddhism. Example: 1950 Presidential Order.

Impact Architecture

1. **Constitutional Harmony:** Prevents friction between Article 25 (Freedom of Conscience) and Article 16(4) (Equitable Representation), ensuring religious choice does not bypass statutory reservation norms.
2. **Empirical Rigor in Classification:** Protects existing notified Backward Class Muslim sub-groups from dilution by preventing the creation of unverified artificial categories. The Court acknowledged social stratification within Indian Muslim society; religious doctrine alone cannot settle empirical questions of disadvantage.
3. **Socio-Economic Realities:** Acknowledges that while systemic discrimination persists across religious communities, affirmative action classifications must strictly follow empirical state commission evaluations.
4. **Reconversion precedent:** In K.P. Manu v. Chairman, Scrutiny Committee (2015), the Supreme Court held that reconversion-based SC claims require proof of original caste identity, reconversion and acceptance by the community.

Challenges and Concerns

1. **Persistent Disadvantage:** Conversion may not immediately eliminate poverty, discrimination or educational deprivation. A rigid approach risks overlooking lived realities unless governments provide lawful routes to support genuinely disadvantaged groups. Example: Social continuity.

2. **Administrative inconsistency:** Different certification practices can generate uncertainty, litigation and arbitrary decisions, particularly when identity, ancestry and community membership are inadequately documented. Example: Certification disputes.

3. **Intra-Group Equity:** Expanding a category without evidence may disadvantage already-notified communities; excluding deserving people without assessing their circumstances may equally undermine social justice. Example: Fair distribution.

Way Forward

1. **Conduct Empirical Backwardness Surveys:** Empower State Commissions for Backward Classes to scientifically evaluate the social and educational standing of converted communities prior to notification.
2. **Clear Certification Protocols:** Establish uniform procedures, reasoned decisions, appeal mechanisms and safeguards against both fraudulent claims and wrongful exclusion.

3. **Review the 1950 SC Presidential Order:** Evaluate the findings of the Justice K.G. Balakrishnan Commission regarding the extension of Scheduled Caste status to Dalit converts to Christianity and Islam.
4. **Standardize Community Certification:** Formulate uniform administrative guidelines across states to prevent arbitrary issuance of caste certificates following religious conversion.
5. **Focus on De-linked Economic Criteria:** Expand targeted educational and economic welfare schemes for underprivileged converts via the Economically Weaker Sections (EWS) or non-caste development models.

Conclusion

As emphasized by Dr. B.R. Ambedkar, affirmative action must preserve social justice while remaining grounded in constitutional integrity. Balancing religious freedom under Article 25 with empirical backwardness under Article 16(4) ensures that reservation frameworks remain equitable, legally sound, and true to their constitutional mandate.

Is the world drifting into a heteropolar era of billionaire-controlled geopolitics? Analyze the challenge posed by non-state oligarchs to state sovereignty.

Introduction

The contemporary international system is transitioning from traditional multipolarity—power distributed among sovereign nation-states toward heteropolarity. Coined in geopolitical discourse, heteropolarity describes an order where non-state actors, particularly ultra-wealthy tech moguls and transnational oligarchs, wield structural influence, technological monopolies, and financial leverage comparable to sovereign states.

Drivers of the Heteropolar Challenge to State Sovereignty

1. **Control over Critical Strategic Infrastructure:** Private corporate ownership of dual-use satellite constellations and strategic communication networks grants private individuals unilateral power over active conflict zones. Example: Starlink in Ukraine.
2. **Weaponization of Information & Media Platforms:** Control over global social media networks enables billionaires to shape electoral narratives, influence public policy, and bypass traditional regulatory oversight. Example: X / Twitter algorithms.
3. **Transnational Trans-Governmental Philanthropy & Advocacy:** Financial support deployed via global foundations can influence domestic legislative agendas, judicial appointments, and political movements in foreign sovereign nations. Example: Open Society Foundations.
4. **Monopolistic Dominance in Frontier Technologies:** Private sector control over Artificial Intelligence (AI), quantum computing, and critical supply chains erodes state monopolies on national security technology. Example: AI frontier labs.

Governance & Sovereignty Architecture

1. **Constitutional & Legal:** Privatized influence undermines the Westphalian principle of state sovereignty and Article 2(1) of the UN Charter, creating an accountability vacuum where non-state actors exercise authority without democratic mandates.
2. **Geopolitical & Strategic:** Forces middle powers and developing nations to practice diplomatic balancing not just between nation-states, but also with global technology giants. Example: Sovereign tech diplomacy.
3. **Socio-Political Security:** Unregulated algorithmic amplification accelerates socio-political polarization, challenging state capacity to maintain public order and social cohesion. Example: Algorithmic riot amplification.

India's Strategic Response

1. **Digital Governance:** The Information Technology Rules, 2021, establish intermediary due-diligence obligations, while the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, provides a framework for personal-data protection. Implementation must balance accountability with fundamental rights.

2. **Strategic autonomy:** Indigenous space capabilities, NavIC and public digital infrastructure can reduce dependence on external providers. However, sovereign alternatives require sustained investment, interoperability and reliable performance.

3. **Frontier-technology readiness:** The Economic Survey 2025–26 identifies AI, cloud adoption and cybersecurity as major productivity drivers, while highlighting gaps in technology diffusion and skills. These gaps have strategic implications beyond economic growth.

Way Forward

1. **Enforce Digital & Technological Sovereignty:** Develop public-sector alternative infrastructure in space tech, digital public infrastructure (DPI), and strategic communications to diminish reliance on private monopolies.
2. **Institute Global Digital Antitrust Norms:** Formulate binding international frameworks through the UN or G20 to regulate big-tech monopolies and prevent corporate overreach into national security domains.
3. **Strengthen Electoral & Lobbying Regulations:** Enforce strict transparency, disclosure, and taxation rules on foreign campaign funding and political political action committees (PACs) to safeguard electoral integrity.
4. **Appoint Tech Ambassadors & Specialized Envoys:** Formalize sovereign diplomatic engagement with global tech entities while maintaining strict legal primacy over corporate operations within national borders.

Conclusion

As emphasized in NITI Aayog's Frontier Tech Strategy and India's push for Digital Public Infrastructure (DPI), state sovereignty must be proactively defended against privatized geopolitical monopolies. Building resilient domestic capabilities and global regulatory consensus ensures that technological innovation serves democratic governance rather than oligarchic influence under Viksit Bharat 2047.

Should India join the Open Coalition on Compliance Carbon Markets? Analyze the strategic, economic, and regulatory implications for national decarbonization.

Introduction

The Open Coalition on Compliance Carbon Markets (OCCCM) co-chaired by Brazil, the EU, and China was established to harmonize carbon pricing frameworks, set high-integrity Monitoring, Reporting, and Verification (MRV) standards, and ensure carbon market interoperability. As India operationalizes its domestic Carbon Credit Trading Scheme (CCTS), evaluating participation in this global coalition aligns with its climate goals and international trade strategy.

Global Carbon Coalition Framework Strategic Architecture

1. **Economic & Industrial Vulnerabilities:** Mandatory compliance pricing risks compressing margins for energy-intensive, trade-exposed (EITE) sectors such as steel, cement, and aluminum. Example: EITE margin pressure.

2. **Sovereign Autonomy Concerns:** Premature integration with mature Western carbon markets risks imposing high carbon price floors that do not reflect India's low per-capita income status. Example: Carbon price divergence.
3. **Administrative & Verification Capacity:** Rural MSMEs and domestic industries face operational frictions due to high verification costs and strict compliance standards. Example: MSME MRV burden.

Advantages of Coalition Accession

1. **Hedging Against Unilateral Trade Tariffs:** Joining the coalition allows India to negotiate baseline equivalence and common accounting, mitigating border penalties under mechanisms like the EU's CBAM. CEEW's 2025 study identifies both export risks and opportunities to strengthen India's carbon market. Example: CBAM tariff hedging.
2. **Standardizing Domestic Carbon Markets:** Technical collaboration aids in refining India's CCTS framework, establishing credible emission intensity baselines and robust MRV protocols. Example: CCTS MRV alignment.
3. **Unlocking High-Integrity Climate Capital:** Interoperable compliance frameworks increase international demand and capital flows for Indian decarbonization projects under Article 6.2/6.4 of the Paris Agreement. Example: Article 6 carbon finance.
4. **Geopolitical Leverage:** Fostering south-south & multilateral alliances, co-leading carbon market rules alongside emerging markets like Brazil prevents developed economies from unilaterally setting global carbon pricing standards. Example: Global South price alignment.

Regulatory and Domestic Challenges

1. **Price and competitiveness:** India's development priorities differ from those of advanced economies. Convergence towards higher carbon prices could raise costs for steel, cement and aluminium producers, affecting jobs, investment and export competitiveness. Example: EITE sectors.
2. **Market maturity and verification:** The Economic Survey 2025–26 describes CCTS as a dual compliance-and-offset framework. Yet CEEW's January 2026 analysis found that final targets for four sectors were less stringent than draft targets, reducing projected emissions-reduction potential by about 2.8 $MtCO_2e$ by 2027. Premature linkage could expose an evolving market to volatility and unreliable price signals. Example: Early-stage risks.
3. **Inclusion and sovereignty:** Complex MRV requirements can disproportionately burden MSMEs. International alignment must also preserve India's regulatory autonomy and reflect Common But Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR-RC) under the climate regime. Example: Equitable transition.

Way Forward

1. **Secure Observer Status First:** Enter OCCCM initially as an observer state to influence global MRV technical rules while insulating domestic industries from rigid price mandates.
2. **Operationalize the Indian Carbon Market (CCTS):** Mandate emissions intensity targets for hard-to-abate industries under the Energy Conservation Act to build a mature domestic market before international linkage.
3. **Establish Differential Pricing Rules:** Advocate for Common But Differentiated Responsibilities (CBDR) in global compliance coalitions to prevent uniform carbon price floors from penalizing developing economies.
4. **Build Technical Verification Capacities:** Empower the Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE) and domestic accredited verifiers to reduce compliance costs for small and medium enterprises.

Conclusion

As emphasized in the Economic Survey 2025–26 regarding climate-resilient growth, carbon markets are essential for cost-effective decarbonization. Strategic engagement with the Open Coalition on Compliance Carbon Markets will protect India's export competitiveness, attract green capital, and advance the vision of Viksit Bharat 2047.

Evaluating India-US economic ties through transactional tariff relief undermines strategic autonomy. Examine this view in light of shifting global power dynamics.

Introduction

Recent shifts in US foreign policy including tactical pivots regarding Russia and the transactional leverage of secondary tariffs highlight that India must evaluate bilateral trade agreements on long-term economic gains rather than temporary relief from threatened penalties.

Limitations of Negotiating Under Tariff Threats

1. **Transactional Vulnerability:** Agreements signed primarily to dodge penal tariffs reduce India's strategic leverage in future talks. Example: GSP benefit revocation.
2. **Asymmetric Concessions:** Avoiding a high duty penalty Example: 100%) often forces market access compromises in sensitive sectors. Example: Agriculture & Medical devices.
3. **Uncertainty in Commitments:** Unilateral tariff threats bypass standard multilateral channels, creating continuous policy volatility for Indian exporters. Example: Section 232 steel tariffs.
4. **Misleading Comparative Gain:** Seeking parity with general tariff rates (10–12.5%) offers little relative competitive advantage if major regional rivals secure deeper zero-tariff deals. Example: US-Mexico-Canada Agreement.

Geopolitical Dynamics & Strategic Autonomy



Impacts of Washington's Geopolitical Shifts

1. **Changing US Priorities:** Tactical US realignments such as calibrated stances on Russia demonstrate that US strategic focus remains highly dynamic and self-interested. Example: CAATSA sanction waivers.
2. **Pressure on Strategic Autonomy:** Economic leverage is frequently used by Washington to align India's foreign policy with Western geopolitical blocs. Example: Russian oil purchases.
3. **Regulatory & Non-Tariff Friction:** Deep economic deals often involve US demands for policy changes beyond simple tariffs. Example: Data localization limits.

Building a Sustainable Bilateral Trade Framework

1. **Value-Chain Integration:** Shift the focus from basic tariff bargains to high-value technology sharing and supply chain resilience. Example: US-India iCET framework.
2. **Plurilateral Diversification:** Hedging strategic risks by securing comprehensive Free Trade Agreements (FTAs) across multiple economic corridors. Example: India-UK FTA, EFTA deal.

3. **Focusing on Structural Reciprocity:** Ensuring agreements secure clear, long-term market access for India's services and skilled labor rather than reactionary tariff concessions. Example: L1/H-1B visa ease.

Way Forward

1. **Negotiate measurable reciprocity:** Seek legally durable tariff schedules, competitor-relative advantages, transparent review clauses and safeguards against arbitrary withdrawal. Assess the full value of proposed concessions before implementation. Example: Verifiable gains.
2. **Diversify and build resilience:** Accelerate trade arrangements with the EU, UK, UAE and EFTA; use the ₹10,000-crore SME Growth Fund announced in Budget 2026–27 to strengthen export capacity and reduce dependence on one market. Example: Market diversification.
3. **Preserve policy space:** Protect sensitive agricultural sectors, pursue mutually agreed standards and retain flexibility in energy procurement. Align domestic reforms with Make in India, PLI schemes and productivity improvements rather than concessions driven by deadlines. Example: Strategic resilience.

Conclusion

As President A.P.J. Abdul Kalam argued in India 2020, national strength rests on capability; India should negotiate confidently, diversify partnerships and accept concessions only for verifiable, durable reciprocal gains today.

Does weaponized agentic AI expose fundamental weaknesses in India's cybersecurity architecture? Analyze necessary statutory, operational, and technical safeguards for data resilience.

Introduction

A critical advisory by the Computer Emergency Response Team (CERT-In Advisory CIAD-2026) flagged a paradigm shift in threat vectors targeting Indian IT infrastructure. The threat arises from dual vectors: autonomous research AI agents exceeding task boundaries and bad actors weaponizing open-source agentic AI tools to automate reconnaissance and system exploitation at machine velocity.

Structural Weaknesses Exposed by Agentic AI

1. **Erosion of Human-Paced Defense Models:** CERT-In recorded over 29.44 lakh cyber incidents in 2025, yet affected organisations failed to detect the agent-driven intrusions independently. Agentic AI tools chain APIs, execute shell scripts, and compress attack lifecycles from days to mere hours, making conventional human Security Operations Centres (SOCs) ineffective. Example-Slow SOCs.
2. **Stealthy Credential Exploitation:** Agents exploit conventional security vulnerabilities such as hard-coded credentials exposed in configuration files or open code repositories without triggering standard anomaly alerts. Example-Hardcoded Secrets.
3. **Statutory & Regulatory Enforcement Voids:** Sections 43 and 66 of the Information Technology Act, 2000 require establishing intent, which fails when security breaches result from non-deterministic algorithmic tool chaining. Example- Intent Gap.
4. **Compliance vs. Detection Misalignment:** The mandated 6-hour CERT-In breach reporting timeline becomes unworkable when compromised entities remain completely unaware of quiet, agent-driven data exfiltration. Example- Unaware Breaches.

Statutory and Regulatory Safeguards

1. **Risk-based AI Regulation:** Amend or supplement the IT Act to clarify duties of AI developers, deployers and organisations, including responsibility for foreseeable agent misuse and failures of oversight. Example- AI Liability.
2. **DPDP Compliance:** Operationalise the Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023 and its rules through proportionate safeguards, breach preparedness and accountability for personal-data protection. The enforcement framework must align with applicable ccommencement notifications. Example-Data Rules.
3. **Safe Harbour and Transparency:** Protect good-faith security researchers following responsible disclosure protocols; publish anonymised CERT-In post-mortems, remediation outcomes and notification practices, while safeguarding sensitive operational intelligence. Example- Research Protection.

Operational and Institutional Safeguards

1. **Machine-Speed SOCs:** Integrate automated threat hunting, real-time anomaly detection and coordinated incident response, with human oversight for high-impact decisions.
2. **Institutional Coordination:** Strengthen CERT-In, the National Critical Information Infrastructure Protection Centre (NCIIPC), sectoral regulators and state cyber-police through shared threat intelligence and joint exercises.
3. **Public-Sector Preparedness:** Mandate periodic independent audits, incident simulations and measurable recovery targets across government departments and critical infrastructure.

Technical and Data-Protection Safeguards

1. **Zero-Trust Architecture:** Enforce least-privilege access, multifactor authentication, secret scanning, credential rotation and continuous API monitoring.
2. **Agent Containment:** Require sandboxed testing, default-deny network egress, restricted permissions, tool-call allowlists and human approval for consequential external actions.
3. **Recovery And Redundancy:** Maintain encrypted, immutable backups, segmented networks and tested disaster-recovery systems to restore essential services after compromise.

Structural Weaknesses

1. **Post-Incident Focus:** Existing arrangements address incidents after revelation, not proactive detection or prevention.
2. **Detection Gap:** The six-hour reporting rule fails when organisations cannot discover intrusions.
3. **Fragmented Regulation:** Enforceable rules exist in some sectors, advisory standards in others.
4. **Transparency Deficit:** CERT-In publishes few postmortems and is exempt from the RTI Act.
5. **Public-sector Lag:** Government systems are slower at spotting unauthorised access.

Way Forward

1. **Mandate Network-Layer Egress Isolation:** Enforce default-deny egress controls and sandboxed containment environments for autonomous AI agents during development, evaluation, and deployment phases.
2. **Institutionalize Human-in-the-Loop (HITL) Gates:** Mandate mandatory human authorization checkpoints for high-consequence system actions and external API executions.
3. **Upgrade SOCs to Automated Threat Hunting:** Deploy automated, AI-driven defense protocols to detect and counter parallel multi-agent intrusion frameworks at machine speed.
4. **Amend IT Act & Enhance CERT-In Transparency:** Update cyber laws to address non-deterministic AI liability, establish safe-harbor rules for ethical security researchers, and publish transparent threat intelligence reports.

Conclusion

As highlighted in the Economic Survey 2025–26 regarding technological resilience, cyber governance must evolve alongside AI capabilities. Bridging regulatory gaps and adopting proactive defense measures will protect India's digital ecosystem and advance Viksit Bharat 2047 goals.

Does deep domestic risk capital hold the key to transforming India from a tech-services hub into an IP-owning product economy? Analyze.

Introduction

India's 21.9 million developers represent immense technological potential, yet software products contribute only $16.1 billion to its $282.6 billion technology industry, highlighting the gap between engineering capability and ownership.

Understanding India's Value-Capture Deficit

1. **Services Versus Ownership:** India's IT industry has historically grown through labour arbitrage, outsourcing and global delivery. While generating employment, exports and expertise, this model often leaves intellectual property (IP), recurring product revenues and platform ownership with overseas clients.

2. **Talent Without Commercialisation:** GitHub estimated 21.9 million Indian developers in 2025, but translating engineering skills into globally competitive software products requires product management, market access and sustained experimentation. GCCs increasingly undertake advanced AI and R&D, yet technical capability does not automatically confer ownership.

3. **Early-Stage Funding Squeeze:** Tracxn data cited in 2026 indicate seed funding fell 37% to $698 million during the first nine months of 2026, following a 30% decline in 2025. Although early-stage funding increased 27% to $4.2 billion, capital appears increasingly selective about unvalidated ideas.

Why Deep Domestic Risk Capital Matters

1. **Strategic & Sovereign Security:** Owning critical digital public infrastructure (DPI) and software platforms insulates the national economy from foreign technology monopolies and supply chain sanctions Example: Sovereign tech autonomy.
2. **Economic & Wealth Retention:** Retaining enterprise value within domestic capital markets expands long-term tax revenues and boosts local capital reinvestment cycles Example: Domestic wealth creation.
3. **Innovation Multiplier:** Venture funding connects universities, researchers, incubators and commercial markets. The ANRF and RDI funding framework can support this transition from laboratory discoveries to scalable enterprises. Example: Lab-to-market.
4. **Industrial Resilience:** Shifting up the value chain from labor-arbitrage services to high-margin SaaS and enterprise platforms secures sustainable export growth Example: High-margin SaaS transition.

Why Capital Alone Is Not Enough

1. **Commercialisation Bottleneck:** Startups also need reliable customers, skilled management, international distribution and predictable regulation. Government procurement through GeM's Startup Runway and paid pilot projects can validate indigenous products. Example: Anchor customers.

2. **Prudential Concerns:** Mandating pension funds to finance risky startups could expose retirement savings to losses. Public funding must therefore crowd in private investment through

professionally managed, diversified funds rather than compel indiscriminate allocations. Example: Risk discipline.

3. **Global Competition:** Foreign venture capital provides expertise and access to international markets. The objective should be stronger Indian ownership and globally competitive firms, not financial isolation. Example: Strategic openness.

Way Forward

1. **Mobilize Institutional Risk Capital:** Relax investment mandates for domestic pension funds (PFRDA) and life insurance pools to deploy a statutory 2–3% allocation into domestic Alternative Investment Funds (AIFs).
2. **Expand Sovereign Fund-of-Funds Frameworks:** Enhance the Self-Reliant India (SRI) Fund and SIDBI Fund of Funds specifically dedicated to high-risk, early-stage deep-tech and enterprise software startups.
3. **Streamline IP & Cross-Border Taxation Rules:** Modernize domestic intellectual property regimes, simplify patent valuation norms, and eliminate tax friction to deter corporate flipping to overseas jurisdictions.
4. **Institutionalize Lab-to-Market University Pipelines:** Utilize the Anusandhan National Research Foundation (ANRF) to establish university-linked venture incubators for commercializing academic engineering innovation.
5. **IP And Taxation Reform:** Simplify patent filing, valuation and cross-border tax rules while strengthening contractual IP ownership and dispute resolution.

Conclusion

As emphasized in NITI Aayog's Deep Tech Policy Framework, transforming India's vast engineering talent into global technology ownership is vital. Cultivating deep, patient domestic risk capital will secure value retention, strengthen sovereign innovation.

Does breaking geographic locking under ONORC empower PDS beneficiaries? Analyze the operational, technological, and federal challenges in modernizing food security.

Introduction

India operates the world's largest food security safety net, supplying subsidized food grains to over 80 crore beneficiaries across nearly 5 lakh Fair Price Shops (FPS) under the NFSA, 2013. Launched in 2019, ONORC makes subsidised food grains portable across India, empowering beneficiaries through choice.

How ONORC Empowers Beneficiaries & Breaks Local Monopoly

1. **Freedom of Choice:** ONORC dismantles the geographical monopoly of nearly five lakh FPS, allowing beneficiaries to switch dealers when grain quality, quantity or service is unsatisfactory. Bihar evidence shows 55% of transactions occurred at another FPS within the same block, while just 1% were interstate, highlighting local bargaining-power gains. Example: Dealer accountability.
2. **Aadhaar-Enabled Interoperability:** Beneficiaries can draw full or partial grain quotas from any FPS nationwide using biometric authentication via electronic Point of Sale (ePoS) devices Example: ePoS biometric validation).
3. **Family Quota Divisibility:** Enables migrant workers to access their share at work destinations while family members draw their portion at their native village Example: Split entitlement drawdown).

4. **Competitive Market Discipline:** FPS dealers face loss of revenue if service quality drops, forcing improvements in grain quality and reducing under-weighing Example: FPS dealer competition).
5. **Reduction in Illegal Diversions:** Real-time digital tracking limits ghost beneficiaries and grain siphoning into open markets Example: Aadhaar deduplication gains).

Operational Challenges

1. **Demand–Supply Mismatch:** Sudden migrant inflows can exhaust destination-shop inventories where allocation remains based on historical demand. Example: Urban shortages.
2. **Dealer Incentives:** Commission structures and weak grievance redressal may discourage FPS dealers from serving mobile beneficiaries or facilitating split transactions. Example: Incentive misalignment.

Technological Challenges

1. **Authentication Exclusion:** Worn fingerprints among manual labourers, connectivity failures and server outages can deny access despite valid entitlements. Example: Biometric failure.
2. **Digital Privacy:** Centralised transaction data creates risks of profiling and misuse, requiring purpose limitation, security and accountability under applicable data-protection law. Example: Privacy safeguards.
3. **Interoperability Gaps:** Inconsistent stock visibility and platform integration can impede seamless transactions across states. Example: Real-time tracking.

Federal and Fiscal Challenges

1. **Centre–State Coordination:** Food distribution operates within the Concurrent List framework, requiring coordination between central allocations and state-level implementation under the National Food Security Act (NFSA), 2013. Example: Shared responsibility.
2. **Unequal Entitlements:** State-specific additions, such as pulses or edible oil, complicate portability because benefits differ across jurisdictions. Example: Scheme divergence.
3. **Outdated Coverage:** NFSA beneficiary ceilings remain linked to Census 2011 population estimates, limiting the inclusion of newly eligible households as populations and migration patterns change. Example: Coverage deficit.

Way Forward

1. **Implement Predictive Stock Allocation:** Integrate Annavitran and IM-PDS portal data with AI models to dynamically adjust grain allocations based on migrant movement trends.
2. **Deploy Multi-Modal Authentication:** Upgrade ePoS terminals with Iris scanners and Facial Recognition Technology (FRT) to address manual worker fingerprint wear.
3. **Automate Interstate Fiscal Clearing:** Establish a centralized, automated clearinghouse under the Ministry of Consumer Affairs for seamless monthly state-to-state financial adjustments.
4. **Integrate Portability with e-Shram:** Link PDS portability datasets with the e-Shram portal to map unorganized migrant labor flows and ensure target safety net delivery.

Conclusion

As highlighted in the Economic Survey 2025–26 regarding DPI-led welfare delivery, ONORC transforms food security from a static localized grant into a portable entitlement. Addressing last-mile technical bottlenecks and dynamic allocation challenges will protect vulnerable citizens and help achieve SDG 2 (Zero Hunger).

Does balancing systemic UN structural reform with Great Power retention limit global governance efficacy? Analyze in the context of emerging tech risks.

Introduction

At 81, the United Nations confronts Security Council deadlocks and technological disruption. Its challenge is to democratise global governance without alienating powerful states whose participation sustains multilateral cooperation.

Paradoxes of UN Structural Reform

1. **Historical Anachronism:** The UN Security Council (UNSC), designed around the geopolitical realities of 1945, inadequately reflects contemporary economic power, population and regional diversity. Africa and Latin America remain without permanent representation. Example: Representation deficit.
2. **Veto Power Paralysis vs. Great Power Retention:** Total abolition of the UNSC veto risks driving major powers away from multilateral frameworks, while retaining it perpetuates legislative deadlocks Example: UNSC veto deadlock.
3. **Geopolitical Asymmetry in Multilateral Bodies:** Developing nations of the Global South remain severely underrepresented in executive decision-making organs relative to their population and economic weight Example: Global South underrepresentation.
4. **Institutional Lag in Frontier Tech Governance:** Global regulation of dual-use disruptive technologies, such as Agentic AI and autonomous weaponry, moves at a pace behind rapid private-sector deployments Example: AI panel lag.
5. **Financial Vulnerability & Contribution Leverage:** Heavy reliance on voluntary contributions from a few powerful member states allows selective financial leverage over specialized multilateral agencies Example: Multilateral funding freezes.

The Great Power Retention Dilemma

1. **Participation Versus Effectiveness:** Abolishing veto power outright could provoke major-power disengagement, weakening the UN's financial, diplomatic and military support. Retaining unrestricted vetoes, however, perpetuates paralysis. Reform must therefore balance institutional legitimacy with geopolitical realism. Example: Reform ceiling.
2. **Universal Legitimacy:** The UN combines universal membership with binding Security Council decisions under Chapter VII. Smaller states depend on this framework to prevent international relations from becoming purely an exercise of coercive power. Example: International legal order.
3. **Historical Efficacy:** Despite shortcomings, UN peacekeeping and mediation have supported conflict settlements, while the Millennium Development Goals and Montreal Protocol demonstrate the potential of multilateral cooperation. Example: Collective action.

Emerging Technologies: A New Test of Global Governance

1. **Governance Lag:** Agentic AI, autonomous weapons, cyberattacks and deepfakes evolve faster than treaty-making. Private technology companies also control capabilities that national governments struggle to monitor independently. Example: Regulatory asymmetry.
2. **Strategic Rivalry:** The United States and China may resist binding rules that constrain technological superiority, while developing countries risk becoming rule-takers without adequate representation. Example: AI power competition.
3. **Scientific Breakthrough:** The UN's 40-member Independent International Scientific Panel on AI, appointed in February 2026, provides an evidence-based mechanism for assessing AI risks. However, its advisory role cannot independently impose binding safeguards.
4. **Global Public Goods:** The Global Digital Compact calls for inclusive, risk-based AI governance, interoperable standards and capacity-building. Without coordinated implementation, divergent national regulations may fragment digital trade and weaken accountability.

Way Forward

1. **Implement Phased UNSC Expansion:** Support the G4 Framework (India, Brazil, Germany, Japan) to expand both permanent and non-permanent seats, extending representation to Africa and Latin America.
2. **Institute Voluntary Veto Restrictions:** Adopt code-of-conduct norms (such as the ACT Code of Conduct) where permanent members voluntarily refrain from using the veto during mass atrocities and humanitarian crises.
3. **Institutionalize Minilateral-Multilateral Bridging:** Utilize functional groupings Example: G20, BRICS) as preliminary consensus-building incubators before bringing global policy proposals to the UN General Assembly.
4. **Empower Autonomous Tech Governance Panels:** Expand statutory resources for bodies like the UN Scientific Panel on AI to establish binding global risk thresholds and safety guidelines.

Conclusion

As emphasized during India's UN Security Council tenure, reformed multilateralism (Reformed Multilateralism) is essential to prevent institutional obsolescence. Balancing structural reform with Great Power engagement ensures the UN remains capable of safeguarding global peace and navigating emerging technological frontiers.

Does Section 17A of the Prevention of Corruption Act shield official discretion or foster executive impunity? Analyze in light of recent judicial rulings.

Introduction

Section 17A, inserted in 2018, requires prior approval to investigate certain official decisions. In 2026, the Supreme Court's Tejaram ruling narrowed its reach, while constitutionality still remains before a larger bench.

Recent Judicial Ruling

In Tejaram v. State of Rajasthan (2026), the Supreme Court clarified that Section 17A protects bona fide official decision-making rather than providing blanket immunity to public servants, ruling that demanding illegal gratification is a pure criminal act unconnected with official duties.

Constitutional & Judicial Framework Governing Public Accountability

1. **Protection of Function, Not Status:** Section 17A attaches protection strictly to recommendations made or decisions taken in the discharge of official functions, not to public-servant status alone. Example: Functional immunity limit.
2. **Exclusion of Off-Duty Bribery Demands:** Demanding a bribe lacks a legally relevant nexus with official duty; hence, prior approval under Section 17A is unnecessary to initiate an investigation. Example: Non-nexus criminal acts.
3. **Balance Between Protection and Prosecution:** Reaffirms the ratio in CBI v. Santosh Karnani (2023), ensuring that honest officers are insulated from malicious complaints without creating procedural hurdles for investigating agencies. Example: Honest officer shield.
4. **Separation from Section 19 Sanction:** Clarifies that Section 17A operates at the pre-investigation stage, distinct from Section 19, which governs post-chargesheet trial prosecution approval. Example: Pre-investigation stage distinction.

Why Protect Official Discretion?

1. **Administrative Efficiency:** Fear of malicious prosecution can discourage civil servants from making innovative, commercially sound or socially necessary decisions. Section 17A seeks to prevent policy paralysis and preserve fearless advice. Example: Defence procurement.
2. **Constitutional Balance:** Article 14 requires equality before law, while fair procedure protects honest officials from arbitrary harassment. A carefully limited approval mechanism can reconcile administrative independence with accountability. Example: Procedural fairness.
3. **Economic Governance:** Predictable, impartial administration supports investment, public procurement and infrastructure delivery. The Economic Survey 2025–26 examines India's growth, investment and institutional challenges, reinforcing the importance of effective governance; safeguards, however, must not obstruct investigations into corruption. Example: Investor confidence.

How Can It Foster Executive Impunity?

1. **Split Verdict:** In Centre for Public Interest Litigation v. Union of India (13 January 2026), Justice B.V. Nagarathna held Section 17A unconstitutional, warning that it could protect corrupt officials. Justice K.V. Viswanathan upheld it subject to independent screening through the Lokpal/Lokayukta. The larger-bench reference leaves constitutionality unresolved. Example: Judicial disagreement.
2. **Executive Gatekeeping:** When governments approve investigations into their own officials, political considerations may delay or defeat accountability. This risks weakening the CBI and State Anti-Corruption Bureaus. Example: Conflict of interest.
3. **Historical Concern:** In Subramanian Swamy v. Director, CBI (2014), the Supreme Court struck down Section 6A of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, which required prior approval to investigate senior officials, as discriminatory under Article 14. Example: Equal protection.

Way Forward

1. **Formulate Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs):** Require investigating agencies to apply a strict "Official Duty Nexus Test" before seeking or bypassing Section 17A approvals.
2. **Institute Time-Bound Sanction Portals:** Enforce statutory 120-day deadlines for competent authorities to decide on Section 17A requests, preventing procedural delays.
3. **Establish Independent Review Panels:** Assign pre-investigation screening to autonomous advisory boards (such as the Central Vigilance Commission) rather than political executive authorities.
4. **Legislative Refinement:** Amend Section 17A to explicitly define recommendation or decision to exclude flagrant criminal conduct and extortion demands.

Conclusion

As emphasized by the 2nd ARC, statutory safeguards must protect administrative discretion without creating an umbrella of executive impunity. Restricting Section 17A to bona fide official acts strengthens institutional integrity, upholds the rule of law, and advances Viksit Bharat 2047 goals.

Does centralizing climate-resilient, season-independent crops empower smallholders beyond MSP protections? Analyze the governance and institutional shifts necessary for sustainable livelihoods.

Introduction

With marginal and small farmers constituting over 86% of operational holdings, India's agrarian ecosystem faces severe climate vulnerability. As highlighted by the Economic Survey 2025–26 and Union Budget 2026–27, achieving climate resilience requires shifting focus from traditional, water-intensive cereal procurement

toward season-independent, climate-resilient crops. Promoting these crops offers a sustainable strategy to secure smallholder livelihoods outside formal MSP safety nets.

Limitations of MSP-Centric Governance for Smallholders

1. **Geographic & Crop Procurement Skew:** MSP procurement remains overwhelmingly concentrated in wheat and paddy across a few states, leaving non-procured, rainfed crops exposed to market crashes. Example: Regional procurement skew).
2. **Market Access & Marketable Surplus Constraints:** Smallholders with limited parcel sizes often lack sufficient marketable surplus or logistics access to sell directly at formal APMC yards. Example: High transaction costs).
3. **Depletion of Ground Water Table:** Open-ended price guarantees for water-intensive crops accelerate aquifer depletion in water-stressed regions. Example: Punjab groundwater crisis).
4. **Exclusion of High-Value & Resilient Agriculture:** Current price support mechanisms undervalue drought-tolerant, season-independent horticulture, pulses, and climate-smart millets (Shree Anna). Example: Cereal bias distortion).

How Season-Independent Crops Empower Farmers

1. **Income and Employment:** Flexible crops such as cluster beans, onions, short-duration vegetables, pulses and millets can generate returns using limited or intermittently available water. The supplied Maharashtra examples demonstrate potential for higher water productivity and additional rural employment. Example: Cluster beans; summer vegetables.
2. **Climate Adaptation:** Diversified cropping reduces dependence on rigid monsoon sowing windows and can spread risks from delayed rainfall, dry spells and heat stress. However, vegetables cannot universally replace cereals or sugarcane; choices must reflect local agro-climatic conditions and food-security needs. Example: Climate-smart cropping.
3. **Budgetary Alignment:** Union Budget 2026–27 promotes high-value crops, including coconut, cashew, cocoa and region-specific horticulture, with multilingual AI advisory integrating AgriStack with ICAR recommendations. These initiatives can strengthen diversification and farmers' access to knowledge. Example: Digital agriculture.

Governance and Institutional Shifts Required

1. **Federal Coordination:** Agriculture is a State subject under List II, Entry 14. The Centre should provide research, finance and market support, while states tailor crop choices and extension services to local water availability. Example: Cooperative federalism.
2. **Water Governance:** Shift from maximising irrigated acreage to income and employment per drop through watershed development, farm ponds, drip irrigation and sustainable groundwater management. Example: Per Drop More Crop.
3. **Technology and Inclusion:** Local-language AI advisories should combine weather forecasts, soil data and crop suitability. Panchayats and extension workers must ensure that marginal farmers, tenants and women cultivators can access these services. Example: Bharat-VISTAAR.

Way Forward

1. **Decentralize Procurement & Expand PM-AASHA:** Institutionalize price deficiency payment systems (PDPS) for non-cereal, season-independent crops to shield smallholders from price volatility.
2. **Strengthen FPO Aggregation Capacity:** Scale up Farmer Producer Organizations (FPOs) to pool smallholder harvests, enable direct buyer linkages, and lower post-harvest freight overheads.
3. **Incentivize Regenerative Water Governance:** Align watershed management and micro-irrigation subsidies directly with smallholders cultivating low-water, climate-smart crops.

4. **Institutionalize Local Market Integration:** Link smallholder output directly to decentralized public welfare schemes (such as PM-POSHAN) to ensure local demand security.

Conclusion

Echoing the vision of NITI Aayog's Agriculture Strategy, shifting agricultural policy toward smallholder-centric, season-independent cropping builds systemic climate resilience. Re-engineering governance from price guarantees to ecosystem-wide support will ensure water security, empower marginal farmers, and achieve Viksit Bharat 2047.

Created with love ❤ by ForumIAS- the knowledge network for civil services.
Visit academy.forumias.com for our mentor based courses.
ForumIAS